

ANEXO 3

Resultados del análisis de porcentaje de similitud (SIMPER) para los factores impacto y sustrato

APPENDIX 3

Results of the similarity percentage analysis (SIMPER) for impact and substrate factors

Comparaciones por tipo de impacto				
Morfoespecie	Abundancia promedio (%)			P valor
	Minería	Referencia	Contribución acumulativa	
<i>Baetodes</i>	13.165049	10.527778	0.217	0.01
<i>Cricotopus</i>	3.631068	9.935185	0.371	0.43
<i>Andesiops</i>	3.708738	4.064815	0.4551	0.01
<i>Smicridea</i>	0.504854	4.064815	0.5201	0.01
<i>Simulium</i>	1.339806	3.444444	0.5724	1
<i>Camelobaetidius</i>	0.718447	3.046296	0.6206	0.01
<i>Nanomis</i>	2.019417	1.074074	0.6668	0.01
<i>Leptohyphes</i>	3.213592	0.722222	0.7071	0.01
Chironominae sp. 1	0.776699	1.583333	0.7438	1
<i>Atopsyche</i>	0.446602	1.888889	0.7722	0.01
<i>Helicopsyche</i>	0.07767	1.416667	0.7946	0.01
Tanypodinae sp. 1	0.126214	1.175926	0.8144	0.01
<i>Anchytarsus</i>	0.417476	0.833333	0.834	0.01
<i>Anacroneuria</i>	0.757282	0.453704	0.8503	0.03
Morfoespecie	Abundancia promedio (%)			P valor
	Referencia	Agricultura	Contribución acumulativa	
<i>Simulium</i>	3.444444	21.30303	0.2108	0.01
<i>Cricotopus</i>	9.935185	6.68687	0.3813	0.01
<i>Baetodes</i>	10.527778	0.0202	0.5011	1
<i>Smicridea</i>	4.064815	0.25253	0.5641	0.01
<i>Andesiops</i>	4.064815	0.0101	0.6223	0.94
Chironominae sp. 1	1.583333	2.07071	0.6701	0.18
<i>Camelobaetidius</i>	3.046296	0	0.7099	0.01
<i>Atopsyche</i>	1.888889	0	0.7343	0.01
<i>Rhagovelia</i>	0.296296	0.77778	0.7564	0.25
<i>Helicopsyche</i>	1.416667	0.0202	0.7775	0.01
<i>Nanomis</i>	1.074074	0	0.7965	1
Tanypodinae sp. 1	1.175926	0.12121	0.8155	0.01
Calopterygidae sp. 1	0.027778	0.83838	0.8327	0.08
<i>Anchytarsus</i>	0.833333	0.0404	0.8486	0.14

Morfoespecie	Abundancia promedio (%)			P valor
	Minería	Agricultura	Contribución acumulativa	
<i>Simulium</i>	1.339806	21.30303	0.2479	0.01
<i>Baetodes</i>	13.165049	0.0202	0.4371	0.02
<i>Cricotopus</i>	3.631068	6.68687	0.5533	0.95
<i>Andesiops</i>	3.708738	0.0101	0.6232	0.03
Chironominae sp. 1	0.776699	2.07071	0.6718	0.08
<i>Nanomis</i>	2.019417	0	0.7119	0.04
<i>Leptohyphes</i>	3.213592	0	0.7493	0.01
<i>Rhagovelia</i>	0.048544	0.77778	0.7757	0.02
Calopterygidae sp. 1	0	0.83838	0.7986	0.01
<i>Smicridea</i>	0.504854	0.25253	0.8168	1
<i>Anacroneuria</i>	0.757282	0	0.8324	0.01
<i>Tipula</i>	0.349515	0.20202	0.8461	0.07
<i>Camelobaetidius</i>	0.718447	0	0.8588	1

Comparaciones por tipo de sustrato

Morfoespecie	Abundancia promedio (%)			P valor
	Sedimento	Roca	Contribución acumulativa	
<i>Baetodes</i>	2.125	15.555556	0.224	0.01
<i>Simulium</i>	1.42708	9.277778	0.3774	0.96
<i>Cricotopus</i>	3.69792	5.851852	0.5184	0.58
<i>Andesiops</i>	1.52083	2.675926	0.5892	0.17
Chironominae sp. 1	1.35417	1.148148	0.6377	0.21
<i>Nanomis</i>	1.27083	1.212963	0.682	0.01
<i>Smicridea</i>	0.25	1.796296	0.7223	0.88
<i>Camelobaetidius</i>	0.8125	1.833333	0.762	0.02
<i>Rhagovelia</i>	0.36458	0.435185	0.7852	0.32
<i>Atopsyche</i>	0.125	0.851852	0.8024	0.95
Tanypodinae sp. 1	0.6875	0.444444	0.8196	0.09
<i>Helicopsyche</i>	0.46875	0.62963	0.8364	0.24
Calopterygidae sp. 1	0.13542	0.268519	0.8494	0.7

Morfoespecie	Abundancia promedio (%)			P valor
	Sedimento	Hojasasca	Contribución acumulativa	
<i>Simulium</i>	1.42708	13.962264	0.1837	0.11
<i>Cricotopus</i>	3.69792	10.584906	0.3389	0.12
<i>Baetodes</i>	2.125	5.764151	0.4472	1
<i>Andesiops</i>	1.52083	3.650943	0.5147	0.43
<i>Smicridea</i>	0.25	2.811321	0.5662	0.11
Chironominae sp. 1	1.35417	1.90566	0.6155	0.21
<i>Leptohyphes</i>	0.0625	3.367925	0.6597	0.01
<i>Nanomis</i>	1.27083	0.669811	0.6922	0.73
<i>Camelobaetidius</i>	0.8125	1.198113	0.7188	0.89
<i>Tipula</i>	0.0625	0.707547	0.7404	0.01
<i>Atopsyche</i>	0.125	1.377358	0.7611	0.21
<i>Rhagovelia</i>	0.36458	0.301887	0.78	0.49
Calopterygidae sp. 1	0.13542	0.415094	0.7972	0.09
<i>Anchytarsus</i>	0.05208	0.811321	0.814	0.11
<i>Anacroneuria</i>	0.05208	0.858491	0.8304	0.03
Tanypodinae sp. 1	0.6875	0.358491	0.8447	0.49
<i>Helicopsyche</i>	0.46875	0.471698	0.8582	0.69

Morfoespecie	Abundancia promedio (%)			P valor
	Roca	Hojarasca	Contribución acumulativa	
<i>Simulium</i>	9.277778	13.962264	0.1929	0.1
<i>Baetodes</i>	15.555556	5.764151	0.3761	0.24
<i>Cricotopus</i>	5.851852	10.584906	0.5112	0.93
<i>Andesiops</i>	2.675926	3.650943	0.5713	0.98
<i>Smicridea</i>	1.796296	2.811321	0.6248	0.17
<i>Leptohyphes</i>	0.425926	3.367925	0.6634	0.01
Chironominae sp. 1	1.148148	1.90566	0.6991	1
<i>Camelobaetidiu</i>	1.833333	1.198113	0.7276	0.87
<i>Nanomis</i>	1.212963	0.669811	0.7516	0.98
<i>Atopsyche</i>	0.851852	1.377358	0.7742	0.12
<i>Rhagovelia</i>	0.435185	0.301887	0.7914	0.68
<i>Anchytarsus</i>	0.425926	0.811321	0.8082	0.23
<i>Anacroneuria</i>	0.287037	0.858491	0.8232	0.16
<i>Tipula</i>	0.074074	0.707547	0.8378	0.09
Calopterygidae sp. 1	0.268519	0.415094	0.8515	0.66

Se presentan las comparaciones al interior de cada factor.

Resultado general para estación: $R = 0.3258$, $P \text{ valor} = 0.001$; resultado general para sustrato: $R = 0.0818$, $P \text{ valor} = 0.001$.
The comparisons are presented within each factor. General result for station: $R = 0.3258$, $P \text{ value} = 0.001$; general result for substrate: $R = 0.0818$, $P \text{ value} = 0.001$.