Spatial variability of zooplankton community structure in Colombian Caribbean waters during two seasons
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Abstract: Zooplankton is an important component to understand oceanographic dynamics, they are considered good indicators of environmental variability, since most species are short-lived they can be tight coupling of climate and population dynamics, and some taxa are sensitive to variables such as temperature, quality and quantity of food, oxygenation and stratification. In the Colombian Caribbean two oceanographic cruises were carried out, in order to characterize and analyze the biodiversity of the continental margin, for the periods May-June 2008 and November-December 2009. The objective of this study was to determine which oceanographic variable was the most important in the distribution of zooplankton in the oceanic waters, and how the variables structure the community. In each cruise, zooplankton samples were obtained by vertical hauls with a 1 m² diameter conical net (200 μm mesh size). The zooplankton community structure was studied by automated image analysis (ZooImage), which combined with abundance data, allowed to calculate the slope of size spectrum, and diversity indexes of taxa and sizes. The environmental variables included temperature, salinity, oxygen and chlorophyll a of the water column, and were used to calculate the stratification with the Brunt-Väisälä frequency; this information was complemented by satellite images of temperature, surface chlorophyll, geostrophic currents and sea level, obtained from MODIS and AQUA products. The association between environmental variables and the zooplankton community structure was determined using Spearman’s correlation ranges with the Bioenv routine and a stepwise regression model, and Principal component analysis (PCA). Our results showed spatial and temporal patterns in the oceanographic conditions of the study area, such as upwelling in the Northeast region, strong stratification in the Southwest, and mesoscale activity. The abundance of zooplankton exhibited differences between the two climatic seasons and zones. Temporal differences were also evident in the size and diversity of taxa (ANOVA 2-way p <0.05). These changes were related to the moderate upwelling and the activity of mesoscale eddies. Cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies of variable size can either transport or retain zooplankton over cross-shelf and oceanic areas, thus modifying the taxonomic structure of the community. According to the Bioenv analysis, the main variables that explained the abundance and composition of zooplankton were sea level and chlorophyll a (Spearman correlation = 0.49). The stepwise regression showed that stratification, oxygen and chlorophyll a were the most important predictors of zooplankton abundance and size. Rev. Biol. Trop. 66(2): 688-708. Epub 2018 June 01.
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Zooplankton are considered useful indicators of the impact of climate variability in the ocean environment, because they are composed mainly of short-lived species that respond rapidly to changes in their habitats, and thus, reflect the responses of the entire marine ecosystem (Beaugrand, Reid, Ibanez, Lindley, & Edwards, 2002; Richardson & Schoeman, 2004). Climate variability may in turn affect zooplankton habitats, altering their community structure and dynamics (Hays, Richardson, & Robinson, 2005). The spatial patterns of community normally respond to spatial changes in the environmental (oceanographic) conditions, which determine ecological niches and the structure of the zooplankton in that habitat (Williams, 1988). Spatial patterns also reflect the effect of fish predation on zooplankton (Rand & Hinch, 1998). To know how the zooplankton community structure changes spatially, under the different environmental conditions, may improve our capacity to predict changes in zooplankton in a future scenario of climatic change.

In the Northern region of Colombia, located in the Southwestern Caribbean, wind patterns respond to the shifting position (North-South) of the Intertropical Convergence Zone. Three seasons have been described for this region: a dry windy season (December to April), a transitional season (May to July), and a rainy season with low winds (August to November) (Andrade-Amaya, 2001). The westward-flowing Caribbean Current (Wüst, 1964; Morrison & Nowlin, 1982), with its characteristically intense transit of mesoscale eddies, is the major oceanographic process in the Caribbean (Jouanno, Sheinbaum, Barnier, Molines, & Candela, 2012). In the Northeastern zone off La Guajira Peninsula, wind-driven upwelling events take place, stronger during the dry and transitional seasons, and weaker during the rainy season (Andrade & Barton, 2005). The Central area is influenced by the Magdalena River, which discharges 7100 m$^3$.s$^{-1}$ of fresh water, and contributes with 185 x$10^6$ tons of sediments/year (Posada & Henao, 2008). In the Southwestern zone, cycloonic circulation associated with the Panamá-Colombia Gyre is presented (Mooers & Maull, 1998; Schott & Molinari, 1996). This circulation generates the Panamá-Colombia countercurrent flowing East ward, which can reach La Guajira and Venezuela in the rainy season (Sheinbaum, Zavala, & Candela, 1997; Andrade-Amaya, 2001; Andrade, Barton, & Mooers, 2003).

The distribution and structure of the zooplankton community may be conditioned by the environment and its processes (physical and chemical). Temperature and food availability are known as major drivers of zooplankton dynamics (Hays et al., 2005; Escribano, Bustos-Ríos, Hidalgo, & Morales, 2016). Mesoscale circulation can have a significant effect on the spatial distribution and structure of zooplankton communities (Praire, Sutherland, Nicols, & Kaltenberg, 2012). For example, higher zooplankton productivity and abundance have been found at the center of cycloonic eddies, due to divergence and the rise of nutrient rich waters (López-Salgado, Gasca & Súarez-Morales, 2000; Correa-Ramírez, Hormazábal, & Yuras, 2007; Llinás, Pickart, Mathis, & Smith, 2009). It has also been shown that eddies influence the local production and ecosystem dynamics, offering ecological niches for higher trophic levels (Chenillat, Franks, Rivière, Capet, Grima, & Blanke, 2015). Other physical factors of the water column, such as, stratification and oxygen gradient showed influence on the structure of zooplankton (Medellín-Mora, Escribano, & Schneider, 2016).

There have been few studies of the effects of climate variation on oceanographic processes, and the consequences for plankton dynamics and structure in the oceanic waters in the Caribbean. At a large scale, two expeditions in the Caribbean and adjacent waters described the horizontal and vertical distribution of zooplankton species related to water masses near Colombia (Park, 1970; Michele & Foyo, 1976). These studies reported the greatest abundance in the Tropical Surface Water (0 - 50 m) and Subtropical Underwater (50 - 200 m), although some species were reported as typical for
Sub-Antarctic Intermediate (450 - 900 m) and North Atlantic Deep Waters (> 900 m). More recently, Medellín-Mora and Martínez-Ramírez (2010) observed that the major concentrations of organisms are in the Northern area, associated with the upwelling zone, and in the Southwestern area influenced by river runoff and the Panama-Colombia Gyre. Very little information is available on zooplankton size structure. Gutierrez (2011) found that small zooplankton dominates all water masses in the Caribbean, while larger and medium-sized zooplankton was scarce. Other studies have also examined the influence of the dynamics of the Caribbean on zooplankton community structure (Park, 1970; Owre & Foyo, 1972; Michele & Foyo, 1976; Franco-Herrera, Castro, & Tigrerros, 2006; Taylor et al., 2012).

Zooplankton community structures at different spatial and temporal scales have been efficiently assessed by automated methods like ZooImage (Irigoien, Fernandes, Grosjean, Denis, Albaina, & Santos, 2009; Marínquez, Escribano, & Hidalgo, 2009; Di Mauro, Cepeda, Capitanio & Viñas, 2011; Marínquez, Escribano, & Riquelme-Bugueno, 2012; Medellín-Mora et al., 2016). ZooImage can provide the size spectrum of a community, which is considered an important descriptor (Medellín-Mora & Escribano, 2013). For example, variations in the size spectrum slope have been used to infer changes in spatial and temporal size structure under the influence of environmental factors (Lefort, Aumont, Bopp, Arrouze, Gehlen, & Maury, 2015; Canales, Law, & Blanchard, 2016), or in response to pollution, habitat alteration or exploitation (Robson, Barmuta, & Fairweather, 2005; Shin, Rochet, Jennings, Field, & Gislason, 2005; Gómez-Canchong, 2011). Therefore, the size spectrum slope, complemented with taxonomic composition, which ZooImage also assesses, should be considered a useful tool to study zooplankton responses to changes in the physical environment.

In the present study, we used automated analysis of zooplankton samples to assess the community size spectra, major taxa diversity and abundance in relation to spatial oceanographic variation for two seasons in the Colombian Caribbean, in pursuit of understanding how oceanographic dynamics, influenced by seasonal climatic conditions, affect the spatial structure of the zooplankton community.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study site:** The study area is the oceanic waters of the Colombian Caribbean over a 900-m isobath. A total of 36 stations were sampled, 13 during the transitional season between the dry and rainy seasons (May - June 2008), and 19 during the rainy season (November-December 2009) (Fig. 1). Oceanographic data were obtained with an autonomous CTD profiler (Idronaut Ocean Seven 316 plus) deployed down to near bottom at each station. Water samples were also collected with 10-L Niskin bottles at five depths, 2, 50, 100, 150 and 200 m for chlorophyll-a (Chl a) analysis, conducted at the INVEMAR Environmental Quality Laboratory. Chl a was measured by fluorometry following (Parson, Maita, & Lalli 1984). These in situ measurements were used to calibrate the CTD fluorometer to obtain continuous chlorophyll-a (Chla) profiles in the water column. Zooplankton samples were collected at each station by vertical hauls of a 1 m² opening-diameter conical net (mesh size: 200 μm), equipped with a calibrated Hydro-bios flowmeter, and an opening–closing device. During the first campaign, samples were obtained from two depths: a) over the thermocline base or surface (after examining the temperature profile) and b) down the thermocline base to 200 m. In the second campaign, samples were integrated from vertical tows from 200 m to the surface. Samples were fixed with 10 % neutralized formalin in filtered seawater and stored for further observation and analysis in the laboratory.

**Analysis of climate condition and oceanographic data:** Rainfall data were provided by the Institute of Hydrology, Meteorology and Environmental Studies (IDEAM) from Coastal stations (on land) in the Colombian
Caribbean. Descriptive statistics (means, ranges, variations) were obtained for both seasons (May-Jun 2008 and Nov-Dec 2009). We tested differences in rainfall between zones and seasons (transitional vs rainy) after application of a two-way ANOVA with prior testing of variance homogeneity.

Other environmental variables used were Chl$\alpha$ concentration derived from satellite information, sea surface temperature, sea level and geostrophic currents. Surface Chl$\alpha$ and temperature data, with a spatial resolution of 4 km, were obtained from level-3 products of the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer—MODIS - Aqua mission, distributed by the Ocean Biology Processing Group (http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/cms/). Data on sea level and geostrophic currents, were obtained from products of Saral, Cryosat-2, Jason-1&2, T/P, Envisat, GFO, ERS-1 & 2 and Geosat missions, distributed by AVISO (http://www.aviso.altimetry.fr/en/home.html). Average temperature and Chl$\alpha$ were calculated between the first and last day of each cruise, using the geometric mean from available satellite records (without cloud interference). The sea level and geostrophic currents fields corresponded to the middle day of each cruise.

Field data from the oceanographic cruises were processed with the Gibbs Sea Water (GSW) Oceanographic Toolbox of TEOS-10 (IOC, SCOR & IAPSO, 2010). We used absolute salinity, potential temperature, and in situ density to calculate the stability of the water column and the buoyancy or Brunt-Väisälä frequency (BVF), and potential energy anomaly (PEA) to assess stratification of the water column. We also looked at the temperature at 10 m depth and estimated the mixed layer depth (MLD) by considering the density gradient and the first higher value as the base of the MLD. Finally, we calculated the average temperature and oxygen of the mixed layer. In situ Chl$\alpha$ in the water column, integrated in the upper 150 m, was also included.

**Analysis of zooplankton samples:** Zooplankton samples were fractioned with a Folsom splitter in the laboratory of the Colombian Natural Marine History Museum (MHNMC). Most samples were subjected to fractioning, because of the presence of large numbers of
small copepods. Fractioning ranged between 1/2 and up to 1/64. In addition, a size fractioning was applied to facilitate scanning samples using a 1000-µm sieve to obtain two size fractions (<1000 µm and >1000 µm). Sorting into two size fractions provided better spreading of samples for scanning, and prevented organisms overlapping. Both size fractions were scanned and then added by ZooImage. Before scanning, the sub-samples were stained with 5 % Eosin. Excess colorant was removed with 70 % ethanol (24 h exposure). The stained samples were placed on transparent acrylic plates (26 x 15 cm) and digitized with an EPSON Perfection V300 Photo scanner (800 dpi resolution). Digital images were then processed with ZooImage V1.2-software.

ZooImage processing requires a library of identified organisms (training set) to identify and count all the samples, so that using the same digital images we first built a training set for the study area, including the major zooplankton groups. A total of 15 taxa were selected (shown in Supplemental Material), of which copepods were the most abundant and covered a wide size range. Taxonomic identification was carried out using the Random Forest algorithm, evaluated using the 10-fold cross validation confusion matrix. This allowed us to determine the rate of error between manual (human eye) and automatic recognition, which resulted in an estimated accuracy of 80 %. Data on total abundance, taxonomic group identity, and size (measured as equivalent circular diameter, ECD), were obtained for each object in the digital images. ECD estimations were then used to obtain the size spectrum for each sample. Detailed procedures of ZooImage can be found in Grosjean and Denis (2007).

In addition to ZooImage analysis, traditional analysis under a stereomicroscope was used as to identify dominant copepod species. Copepods dominated all samples, and therefore, changes in species composition were important to provide further insight into community responses to oceanographic variation. This analysis was done with a fraction of each sample, similar to the one used for ZooImage, and it was made only for the first cruise (May-Jun 2008).

**Analysis of zooplankton data:** Stratified samples (13 of 36) were treated separately, but abundances were averaged over the 0-200 m water column. Abundance of zooplankton was calculated by ZooImage using the metadata (volume of filtered seawater, fraction of samples and size of the scanning dish) and then standardized as individuals.m m . The size spectra for the zooplankton community were obtained from the data on taxa abundance and ECD estimates. These were first log 2 normalized and then a simple model I regression analysis was applied. We used the slope (b) of size spectra as an index of the size structure of the zooplankton community. Moreover, we calculated the Shannon–Wiener diversity index for size class diversity (H'sc) and for major taxa diversity weighed by size (H'ts). H'sc=Σp iLn(p i), where H'sc = size class diversity, p i = the proportion of each i size class and k= total number of size classes. H'ts= Σp jLn(p j), where H'ts = taxa diversity, p j= the proportion of each j taxa weighed by its corresponding median size, and T= total number of taxa. Total identified taxa are shown in the confusion matrix (see Supplemental Material).

We tested for differences in the size spectrum slope and size class abundances with ANOVA. We also tested the effect of seasons and different zones of the Colombian Caribbean: Northeastern (upwelling influence), central (discharge river influence) and Southwestern (cyclonic gyre). Finally, multivariate analyses were conducted with PRIMER v.6 (Clarke & Gorley, 2006). To do this, we first grouped different stations according to oceanographic variables using the ordination method of principal component analysis (PCA), based on Euclidean distance with standardized data. To assess similarities in the composition and abundance of the community among stations, we applied cluster analysis and multidimensional scaling (MDS) with log-transformed data and following Taylor’s power
law for aggregated data (Taylor, 1961). To do this, we used the Bray-Curtis index and average linking. Two factors were examined: temporal effects (climatic periods) and spatial effects (Northeastern, central and Southwestern). The ANOSIM routine was applied to test these effects, under the hypothesis that community composition and the abundance of zooplankton did not differ significantly between seasons and zones. Differences or similarities between groups were then analyzed with the SIMPER module (similarity percentages).

Finally, a bio-environmental analysis was applied to determine the combinations between environmental variables and the biotic component, expressed by the Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. In this analysis, the similarity matrix of zooplankton categories was correlated to the abiotic similarity matrix (Euclidean distance) to find the set of variables with the best correlation (Clarke & Warwick, 2001). Similarly, with the SYSTAT-12 program, stepwise regression modeling was carried out on the data sets collected in the two seasons (May 2008 and November 2009). Dependent variables (abundance of zooplankton, the size spectrum slope and diversity index) were related to independent variables (stratification, temperature, oxygen, Chl \(a\) and Sea Level Anomaly). The stepwise regression analysis was particularly useful, as its algorithm uses partial correlations (thus minimizing problems with co-linearity) and helps to identify variables of greater importance (Krivtsov, Howarth, & Jones, 2009).

**RESULTS**

**Environmental variability:** The coastal precipitation data for the 2008 and 2009 presented an annual mean rainfall of 132.2 and 111.7 mm, respectively (Digital Appendices). Significant differences were found between years (F = 16.46, P = 5.64e-05) and zones (F = 11.79, P = 9.54e-06). In May-Jun 2008 (transitional season), the mean rainfall was 138.0 mm, ranging between 13.3 and 426.8 mm. In November 2009 (rainy season), the mean precipitation was 106.7 mm, with a maximum of 364.4 mm and minimum of 11.75 mm (Digital Appendices). For the study periods only significant differences between zones were found (F = 5.697, P = 0.001), but not between seasons (F = 2.206, P = 0.141). Apparently, both seasons were transition periods and for this study were designated as transition 1 (May-Jun 2008) and transition 2 (Nov-Dec 2009).

Sea level anomaly and estimated geostrophic currents derived from satellite information, revealed the presence of the large Panama-Colombia gyre during the two seasons, also with strong mesoscale activity (Fig. 2A and Fig. 2D). During the transition period (May-June 2008) a greater mesoscale activity was observed, with two large anticyclonic eddies offshore (beyond 200 km) (Fig. 2A) in the Southwestern and Northeastern zones. There were two anticyclonic eddies, one in the Northeastern zone and the other in the Southwestern zone. The eddies converged closer to shore in the central zone during the rainy season (Fig. 2D).

There were marked differences in oceanographic surface conditions among zones and between seasons. Temperature was relatively low (ca. 25 °C) and Chl \(a\) high (>1 mg m\(^{-3}\)) in the transition season in the Northeastern zone, suggesting the presence of an upwelling event (Fig. 2B), which was not evident in the second period (Fig. 2E). Temperatures were high during both seasons in the Southwestern zone, although, also with high levels of Chl \(a\) associated with an anticyclonic eddy and river discharges in the area. In the central zone the discharge of the Magdalena River formed ~100 km-long plume with high Chl \(a\) (Fig. 2C and Fig 2F) and warmer water in both seasons (Fig. 2B and Fig. 2E). *In situ* oceanographic conditions obtained from vertical CTD profiles were used to construct a vertical section of temperature, salinity, oxygen, stratification and Chl \(a\) through the Northeast-Southwest direction (Fig. 3).

The patterns of the vertical section of temperature were similar in the two seasons, with higher values (> 25 °C) in the layer 0-150
Fig. 2. Environmental conditions during the transitional (May-June 2008) (A,C,E) and rainy seasons (Nov-Dec 2009) (B,D,F). A-B. Sea Level Anomaly (cm) and Geostrophic current; C-D. Mean Sea Surface Temperature °C; E-F. Mean Chl$\alpha$ (mg.m$^{-3}$).
m, while below 150 m the temperature was < 20 °C (Fig. 3A and Fig. 3F). The thermocline was shallower in the Northeastern than in the Southwestern zone in the transition 1 period (Fig. 3A), revealing the presence of upwelling in the former.

Salinity was homogeneous through most of the study, with the highest values (ca. 35) in the near surface layer (0-15 m), although the Southwestern area had lower values (33-34) in near surface waters, possibly associated with river discharge. This pattern was more evident during the rainy season (Fig. 3B and Fig. 3G).

During both seasons, the concentration of oxygen was higher in the surface layer (> 6 ppm), and the water column of the Southwestern zone was more oxygenated, with higher values of oxygen (7.5 ppm), and a deeper
oxycline (~100 m) than in the other zones (Fig. 3C and Fig. 3H). The shallower oxycline in the Northeastern area, observed in both seasons, was probably related to upwelling taking place in the zone.

In relation to the physical structure of the water column, we used Brunt-Vaisala frequency to assess stratification (Fig. 3D and Fig. 3I). In both transition seasons, there was a clear distinction between the Northeastern and Southwestern zones. The latter was more stratified, with the highest values (15-20 cph (cycle per hour)) between 0-30 m depth. The central zone exhibited a mixed condition in the upper layer (0-50 m) and was more stratified in deeper water. The Northeastern zone showed a highly mixed water column, although with slight stratification in mid and deeper layers (Fig. 3D and Fig. 3I).

Chla levels and distribution were similar in the two transition seasons, although with strong spatial variation. The highest Chla concentration (0.4-1 mg m^-3) in both seasons was found between 50 and 100 m in the Southwestern zone, while the maximums in the central and Northeastern zones were slightly shallower (Fig. 3E and Fig. 3J).

Zooplankton responses: There was relatively high abundance of zooplankton (13-187 ind.m^-3) in the transition 1 season in the Northeastern zone, with some isolated values in Southwestern areas, while there was less abundance in the central zone (Fig. 4A). Size spectrum slopes were steeper (more negative) for the Southwestern zone, indicating the prevalence of small organisms, whereas flatter size spectrum slopes were estimated for the

![Fig. 4. Distribution of zooplankton abundance and the size spectrum slope for May-June 2008 (A, C) and Nov-Dec 2009 (B, D).](image-url)
central and Northeastern zones, indicating that size classes were more evenly distributed (Fig. 4C). A similar comparison was made between seasons. In this case, the size spectrum slopes were steeper transition 1 season. This pattern was evident after considering the regressions between seasons (Digital Appendices). The diversity index, and the diversity size classes were higher in the Northeastern zone, and the lowest values were for the central zone (Fig. 5A and Fig. 5C).

During the transition 2 season the highest abundances of zooplankton occurred in the Southwestern zone, and in the most Northeastern station of the study area (Fig. 4B). The lowest abundance was in the central zone (Fig. 4B). The Southwestern zone showed more negative size spectrum slopes (dominance of small organisms), and slopes for the central and Northeastern zones were more positive (flatter) (Fig. 4D). The highest values of taxa diversity and size class diversity were for the central, and Southwest zones (Fig. 5B and Fig. 5D).

A two-way ANOVA, to test the differences in spatial distribution of zooplankton categorized by zone (Northeastern, central and Southwestern), showed significant differences only for zooplankton abundance. Seasonal effects (transition 1 vs. transition 2) were significant in zooplankton abundance, the size spectrum slope and the taxa diversity index, but not for size-class diversity index (Table 1).

Environmental and zooplankton correlations: Spatial (Northeastern, central and Southwestern zones), and temporal (transition

---

**Fig. 5.** Distribution of the Shannon-Wiener diversity index for taxa and size classes for May-June 2008 (A, C) and November-December 2009 (B, D).
1 and transition 2) variability in environmental conditions were assessed through a Principal Component Analysis (PCA). A non-rotated PCA resulted in a first component (PC1) that explains 63.6% of total variance and a second component that explains 17.6%. Temperature and density were associated with PC1, but with different signs, while oxygen in the mixed layer (ML) was positively related to PC2, and negatively to sea level anomaly (SLA) and Chla. Brunt-Vaisala Frequency and potential energy anomaly, MLD and salinity were associated with the two components, but made more contribution in PC1 (Fig. 6). Northeastern stations and some central zone stations were positively related to MLD, salinity, and density (Fig 6A). Most of the central and Southwestern stations were positively related to BFV, PEA and temperature. The transition 1 season (May-Jun 2008) had positive values in PC2 (Fig. 6B). Stratification parameters (BVF and PEA), and temperature were associated with a group of transition 2 season (Nov-Dec 2009) samples, and another group with density, MLD and salinity.

The zooplankton community structure also exhibited strong variation in terms of taxa composition. Copepods dominated the community in all situations (> 70 in relative abundance), although Salpidae and Chaetognatha were also abundant and persistent. Other taxa were also common, but in lower abundance (Fig. 7). For all taxonomic groups, much greater abundance was found in the transitional season (May-June) than in the rainy season (November-December). Abundance was lower in the central zone than in other two zones in both seasons (Fig. 7).

The classification and ordination analyses (clustering, MDS) using the Bray-Curtis index with zooplankton abundance data, revealed the existence of two groups with 60% similarity. A similarity analysis (ANOSIM) between seasons (May-Jun 2008 and Nov-Dec 2009) showed significant differences in zooplankton abundance and community composition (R = 0.359, P < 0.05), but not among zones (R = 0.031, P > 0.05). The multivariate analysis at the spatial scale showed a separation into two groups: in the first group were mainly central

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sum Sq</th>
<th>Mean Sq</th>
<th>F ratio</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Log N (ind.m⁻³)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.227</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>5.737</td>
<td>0***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.482</td>
<td>4.482</td>
<td>41.924</td>
<td>0.008***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.207</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Slope size spectrum (b)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>2.425</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.541</td>
<td>2.541</td>
<td>43.545</td>
<td>0***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.751</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Taxa index</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.766</td>
<td>0.474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>11.841</td>
<td>0.002**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Size class index</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>0.271</td>
<td>1.035</td>
<td>0.367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Season</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td>0.454</td>
<td>1.733</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.854</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** Significant value to 0.05 %.
zone stations, and the other, included stations from the three zones (Fig. 8A). According to the seasonal factor, stations of the transition 2 season (Nov-Dec 2009) were associated with the first group, and stations for the two transition seasons were associated with the second group (Fig. 8B). Within the second group, clustering of 80% similarity separates into two periods. SIMPER analysis by season revealed a mean similarity contribution of 76.05% to taxonomic composition of the transition1 season stations, characterized by high abundance of copepods and salps. The taxonomic similarity to the transition 2 season was 64.72%, and conversely to the transition 1 season, the same groups were found as high contributors to dissimilarity between seasons.

According to the BIOENV analysis, the main variables explaining the biological ordination were sea level anomaly and Chl a concentration, which in combination, had a Spearman correlation of 0.49 (Table 2). Other
Fig. 8. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot for the first two axes, based on Bray-Curtis similarities of the log (x+1) of transformed zooplankton abundance data for: A) zones and B) seasons (stress = 0.03).
variables selected in combinations with Spearman coefficients < 0.3 are shown in Table 2. A stepwise multiple regression selected Brunt-Vaisala frequency, oxygen and Chla as the most important predictors for abundance and the size spectrum slope. The best predictor for the taxa diversity index is oxygen concentration, and the best predictors for size-class diversity are oxygen and Chla (Table 3).

In this study 53 copepod species (listed in Supplemental Material) were identified at five stations from the transition 1 season. The most representative species with > 1% relative abundance were presented in figure 9. *Para-calanus parvus, Temora turbinata, Oithona plumifera, Subeucalanus subtenuis, Clausocalanus arcuicornis* and *Oncaea venusta* were the most abundant (> 3.5%). Some changes were evident in terms of relative abundance. For example, *T. turbinata* and *S.s subtenuis* were common in the Northeastern zone, *C. arcuicorns* in the central zone and *P. parvus* and *O. plumifera* in the Southwestern zone. Differences and similarities in species composition among zones are illustrated in figure 9.

**DISCUSSION**

During 2008 and 2009 the mean rainfall was much lower than would be expected for a

---

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of variables</th>
<th>Best combinations</th>
<th>Spearman correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chla - SLA</td>
<td>0.494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MLD - Chla - SLA</td>
<td>0.447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>O2 - Chla - SLA</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>MLD - O2 - Chla - SLA</td>
<td>0.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SLA</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BVF - Chla - SLA</td>
<td>0.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BVF - O2 - Chla - SLA</td>
<td>0.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>BVF - MLD - O2 - Chla - SLA</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>O2 - SLA</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BVF - MLD- Chla - SLA</td>
<td>0.310</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BVF = Brunt-Vaisala frequency, MLD = Mixed Layer Depth, O2_ML = Mean Oxygen concentration of Mixed Layer, Chla = Chlorophyll a, SLA = Sea Level Anomaly. Spearman correlation indicates the degree of co-variation between the biotic component and abiotic variables.

**TABLE 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SBVF (cph)</th>
<th>Taxa diversity</th>
<th>Size class index</th>
<th>Ln N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.006 (0.004)</td>
<td>0.182 (0.005)</td>
<td>0.863 (0.093)</td>
<td>0.014 (0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2 MLD</td>
<td>0.535 (0.014)</td>
<td>6.771 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.892 (0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chla (ug.m(^{-2}))</td>
<td>4.807 (0.000)</td>
<td>-5.050</td>
<td>-4.892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>2.799</td>
<td>9.334</td>
<td>5.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>23.609</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.218</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each column gives coefficients for significant predictors based on the final stepwise regression model for the variables listed in the first row (P values in parentheses). The last three rows are the values of F test, and R\(^2\).
region considered a tropical system, with a North-South gradient in the range of 250-1250 mm a year (Arango, Dorado, Guzmán, & Ruiz, 2014). In the study area, no differences were found in the precipitation during transition periods, the variations were evident at spatial level among the sectors analyzed. Low rainfall probably reflected the impact of climate change on this zone. In fact, Taylor et al. (2012) in the Southern zone of the Caribbean (Cariaco-Venezuela) from 1996 to 2010, recorded an increase in surface temperature, and stratification and a decrease in upwelling, chlorophyll and primary production, as well as changes in the plankton structure. The weakening trend in Trade Winds and dependent local variables are largely explained by altering the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) and North Atlantic oscillation (NAO).

Temporal and spatial changes in oceanographic variables, such as temperature, oxygen, chla and sea level anomaly (mesoscale) were evident. Strong mesoscale activity in the form of cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies was observed during our cruises in the Colombian Caribbean, with maximal activity in May and June 2008. The dynamics of these gyres seems related to displacements of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) through its influence on variability of wind circulation patterns (Low Level Atmospheric Jet), and the salinity gradients generated in the Southwestern zone (Andrade & Barton, 2000). In the Northeast zone, eddies are formed and transported in both seasons in the Caribbean current that enters the Colombia basin from Brazil. The Caribbean current has a constant flow towards the Western zone and carries mesoscale eddies with sizes between 100-500 km at a speed of about 30 cm.s⁻¹ (Kinder, Heburn, & Green, 1985; Nystuen & Andrade, 1993; Fratantoni, Johns, & Townsend, 1995; Andrade & Barton, 2000). In the central zone during May-June 2008 cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies were present. During November-December 2009, no mesoscale activity was observed, a front area between two large anticyclonic turns was observed. Depending on seasonality, areas of fronts or instabilities may occur in the central zone, due to the encounter of the Caribbean Current to the Southwest with the Darien Countercurrent in the Northwest direction, and the continental discharges of the Magdalena River and the Dique channel. In the Southwestern area, eddies recorded in both

![Fig. 9. Relative abundance of copepod species to illustrate changes in the most abundant copepod species in May-June 2008 at selected stations (Fig. 1).](image-url)
seasons are advected from the permanent and large-scale cyclonic circulation of the Panama-Colombia gyre, as described by several authors (Mooers & Maul, 1998; Andrade & Barton, 2000; Jouanno, Sheinbaum, Barnier, Molines, Debreu, & Lemarié, 2008).

Upwelling conditions were evident during the first period of transition, temperature was lowest and thermocline was more superficial in the Northeast than in the Southwest zone, higher mixing was observed in this zone. In the Colombian Caribbean, the upwelling intensifies in the period of strong winds, but can occur in other seasons of the year (Andrade & Barton, 2005). In contrast, in the Southwestern zone, during the two study periods, water of higher temperature, lower salinity and greater stratification was found; this zone presented a narrow continental shelf and greater depth (Restrepo, 2008; Rangel-Buitrago & Idárraga-García, 2010). In addition, it is influenced by discharges of fresh water and sediments from the Magdalena River, Canal del Dique, Sinú river and Atrato river entering the Colombian Caribbean (Restrepo, Zapata, Díaz, Garzón-Ferreira, & García, 2006). The warming of the Southwest zone may be related to the persistence of the cyclonic circulation of Southwest zone, orientation of the coastline that protects it from the winds and the influence of the discharges of the rivers and the cooling of the Northeastern zone with the orientation of the coastline and the upwelling (Bernal, Poveda, Roldán, & Andrade, 2006).

We found that differences in temporal distribution of taxa and size of zooplankton were determined by mesoscale variability, dominant circulation and local conditions (e.g. upwelling). Zooplankton was more abundant in the first period of transition, which may be due to greater enrichment by upwelling in the Northeastern zone, along with transport to the West by the Caribbean current associated with greater mesoscale activity (eddies). Zooplankton abundance in the Northeastern zone was related to more positive size spectrum slopes, as well as higher in taxa and size diversity indexes. Nutrient input by upwelling in the Northeastern zone sustained new production and provided more food for zooplankton, which may explain greater zooplankton abundance. Upwelling can also cause vertical ascent of species from deep water, which may increase taxa diversity. These species can then be advected to oceanic areas by mesoscale eddies. In May-June 2008, a cyclonic eddy was present in Northeastern Colombia (the border with Venezuela), and an anticyclonic eddy in the central zone. Our sampling stations were located in the area of interaction between the eddies, both of which transported nutrient-rich coastal waters to the more oceanic zone. Eddies can transport the complete plankton community, as has been seen in other regions (Morales et al., 2010), even for months (Chenillat et al., 2015) and such process can be enhanced by the interaction between cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies (Moore, Matear, Marra, & Clementson, 2007).

The central zone of our study is directly subjected to discharges from the Magdalena River (Bocas de Ceniza) and the Canal del Dique. Zooplankton abundance was high in the Northeastern zone in both seasons, with highly variable size spectrum slopes, although they were generally positive (steeper). The diversity indexes were low in May-June 2008 and higher in November-December 2008. The relatively high variation may result from a frontal area originating from the Caribbean current and the Panamá-Colombia countercurrent. Taking mesoscale eddies into consideration, this area behaves like a frontal zone between eddies, which according to their circulation, cyclonic or anticyclonic, export or import zooplankton diversity from or to the region. Increased Chl a off the mouth of Magdalena River, as evidenced by the satellite image map showing an offshore extension in May-June 2008, reveals advection to the oceanic area. In contrast, this Chl a plume is slightly displaced to the Southwest in October-November 2009. These frontal zones aggregate higher levels of biological activity with greater concentrations of phytoplankton and zooplankton, along with increased yields of fish (Mann & Lazier, 1991).
Finally, zooplankton abundance was high in the Southwestern region, but the size spectrum slopes were extremely negative (dominance of small organisms) and both indexes of diversity (taxa and size) were lower than in the other regions. This region was characterized by high temperature and stratification in both seasons, possibly linked to transport, cyclonic circulation (Panama-Colombia Gyre) and recirculation of low salinity fresh water from the Magdalena, Sinú and Atrato Rivers. In this context, Restrepo et al. (2014) described a 65% increase in river outflow into the Caribbean for the period 2000-2010, reflecting the drift of the ITCZ and suggesting that such climatic processes are key factors in causing observed oceanographic variability.

The observed patterns of zooplankton size distribution for the two seasons and three zones, as assessed by the size spectrum slope and our size/taxa indexes, may be modulated by transport, mixing and dispersion under variable circulation regimes. However, these patterns ultimately follow changes in taxa composition in terms of species or other taxonomic categories. ZooImage classification showed significant changes in community composition between seasons. Although copepods dominated the community in both periods, salps, siphonophores, chaetognaths and decapods, were more abundant during the first period, and because they are larger in size, probably contributed to the observed changes in size structure. However, changes in taxa composition among zones were unclear and non-significant according to ANOSIM, which is consistent with the absence of significant differences in the size spectrum slope among zones.

Nevertheless, a closer look at the community structure at the species level, as we did with copepods, showed that there was variation among zones, which affects community structure. Our copepod species analysis revealed substantial variation in species among zones. In this regard, warm (more stratification) or cold (upwelling) conditions may favor the prevalence of certain species compared to neighboring zones, as observed in other regions (Hidalgo, Escribano, Vergara, Jorquera, Donoso, & Mendoza, 2010). Food aggregation also promotes species distribution and abundance (Irigoin et al., 2009). The effect of biological processes like predation on distribution patterns should also be considered (Verheye, Hutchings, Huggett, & Painting, 1992). The presence of other copepod predators, such as siphonophores and chaetognaths, especially during May-Jun 2008, possibly affects copepod abundance and structure. Nevertheless, because most observed variability is associated with size structure and distribution, it is reasonable to infer that physical processes are mainly responsible for observed patterns. There is much evidence that the responses of zooplankton to advection and mixing are size-dependent (Peterson, 1998; Hidalgo, Escribano, Jorquera, & Vergara, 2012).

To summarize, our analyses evidenced changes in the spatial size-taxa structure of the zooplankton community for both seasonal periods. These changes are related to: 1) Moderate upwelling, with more mixing, a deeper mixed layer and lower temperatures in May-June 2008, resulting in greater abundance of zooplankton and greater diversity indexes, especially in the Northeastern and central zone, 2) Variability in mesoscale activity between seasons, which for the Colombian Caribbean may control spatial distribution of zooplankton. Mesoscale activity transports or disperses nutrients, plankton, diversity between the coastal and oceanic zones, and thus affects the spatial structure of zooplankton. Cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies clearly explain zooplankton distribution patterns and this is reinforced by our multiple regression analysis, which shows that stratification, dissolved oxygen and Chl$\alpha$ are the best predictors of zooplankton abundance, size spectrum slopes and diversity indexes. Moreover, sea level anomaly (mesoscale) and Chl$\alpha$ were best combination of variables that explain the abundance and distribution of zooplankton.
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RESUMEN

Variabilidad espacial de la estructura comunitaria del zooplancton en aguas oceánicas del Caribe colombiano durante dos estaciones. El zooplancton es un componente importante para entender la dinámica oceanográfica, es considerado un buen indicador de la variabilidad ambiental, ya que la mayoría de las especies tienen ciclos de vida cortos, por lo que puede haber un estrecho acoplamiento entre el clima y la dinámica de la población, algunos grupos son sensibles a variables como la temperatura, calidad y cantidad de alimento, oxigenación y estratificación. En el Caribe colombiano dos cruceros oceanográficos durante Mayo-Junio 2008 y Noviembre-Diciembre 2009 fueron realizados con el fin de caracterizar y analizar la biodiversidad del margen continental del Caribe colombiano. El objetivo de este estudio fue determinar qué variable oceanográfica es la más importante en la distribución del zooplancton en las aguas oceánicas del Caribe colombiano y de qué forma estas variables estructuran la comunidad. En cada crucero se obtuvieron muestras de zooplancton mediante arrastres verticales con una red cónica de 1 m de diámetro de boca y 200 µm de ojo de malla. La estructura comunitaria del zooplancton se estudió mediante el análisis automatizado de imágenes (ZooImage) el que combinado con datos de abundancia permitió calcular la pendiente del espectro de tamaño de la comunidad e índices de diversidad de taxa y tamaños. Las variables ambientales (temperatura, salinidad, oxígeno y clorofila a de la columna de agua) se obtuvieron desde los mismos cruceros y se utilizaron para calcular la estratificación mediante la frecuencia Brunt-Väisala. Esta información se complementó con imágenes satelitales de temperatura, clorofila superficial, corrientes geostáticas y nivel del mar, obtenidas de los productos MODIS y AQUA. La asociación entre variables ambientales y la estructura comunitaria del zooplancton se determinó mediante rangos de correlación de Spearman con la rutina Bioenv y un modelo de regresión paso a paso. El análisis de componentes principales (PCA) permitió observar patrones espaciales y temporales en las condiciones oceanográficas del área de estudio, tales como surgencia en la región Nororiental, fuerte estratificación en la Suroccidental y actividad de remolinos de mesoescala. La abundancia del zooplancton mostró diferencias entre las dos estaciones climáticas y entre las zonas. Diferencias temporales también fueron evidentes en el tamaño y diversidad de taxa (ANOVA 2-vías P < 0.05). Estos cambios se relacionaron con la surgencia moderada y la actividad de los remolinos de mesoescala. Los remolinos ciclónicos y anticiclónicos de tamaño variable pueden transportar o retener el zooplancton sobre áreas cruzadas y oceánicas, modificando así la estructura taxonómica de la comunidad. Según el análisis Bioenv las principales variables que explicaron la abundancia y composición del zooplancton fueron el nivel del mar y la clorofila a (correlación de Spearman = 0.49). El modelo de regresión paso a paso mostró que la estratificación, el oxígeno y la clorofila a son los predictores más importantes para la abundancia y el tamaño del zooplancton.

Palabras clave: mesozoooplancton; espectro de tamaño; mesoescala; estratificación; distribución.
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