# Assessment of the dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus (Perciformes: Coryphaenidae) fishery in Pacific Panama

Héctor M. Guzman<sup>1</sup>, Edgardo Díaz-Ferguson<sup>2</sup>, Angel J. Vega<sup>3</sup> & Yolany A. Robles<sup>3</sup>

1. Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute P.O. Box 0843-03092, Panama, Republic of Panama; guzmanh@si.edu

- Instituto de Investigaciones Científicas y Servicios de Alta Tecnología (INDICASAT-AIP) Edificio 219, Ciudad del Saber, Clayton, Panamá, República de Panamá; biocaribbean3@yahoo.com
- 3. Escuela de Biología, Universidad de Panamá-Sede Veraguas, Apartado 0923-00173, Panamá; angeljv@cwpanama.net

Received 04-VIII-2014. Corrected 07-II-2015. Accepted 09-III-2015.

Abstract: The dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) is a highly migratory pelagic species commercially exploited by industrial, artisanal and recreational fisheries in tropical and subtropical areas of the world's oceans. Herein, we evaluated the dolphinfish industrial fishery in Pacific Panama for the first time generating a growth model and examining fluctuations in annual total catch and in catch per unit effort (CPUE) over a four-year period (2006-2009). Annual and monthly catch values and biological parameters of 14913 individuals were obtained onboard industrial vessels, landing sites and records from processing plants. Size frequency for industrial vessels showed a normal distribution between 353 and 1715 mm (average, 1010.85 mm; n = 10459). Fish weight averaged 4.94 kg (SD). Sex ratio was slightly biased toward females. More than 90% of the analyzed fish were sexually mature. The length-weight relationship was positive and significant, reflecting allometric growth. Growth parameters using the von Bertalanffy equation revealed a growth efficiency of  $\theta = 4.61$ , which is within the reported range for Coryphaena hippurus (3.95-4.70). The largest fish were between age classes 2 and 3 (700-1400 mm). Total catch per year and catch per unit effort (CPUE) per year fluctuated, with the highest values recorded between 2008 and 2009. Catch values reported herein are preliminary and appear to be below those recorded elsewhere in the region and cautiously may represent an indicator of sustainable use of this marine resource even considering the absence of management actions in Panama. Rev. Biol. Trop. 63 (3): 705-716. Epub 2015 September 01.

Key words: Panama, mahi-mahi, dorado, dolphinfish, Coryphaena hippurus, fishery, Eastern Pacific.

The dolphinfish, *Coryphaena hippurus* (Linnaeus, 1758), is a highly migratory pelagic species found in extensive areas of the world's oceans. It lives in tropical and subtropical areas of the Atlantic, Indian, and Pacific Oceans (Massuti, Deudero, Sanchez, & Morales-Nim, 1998; Norton, 2000; CIB, 2007; Merten, Appeldoorn, & Hammond, 2014) and is believed to migrate seasonally to warm areas (mean water temperature of 28°C) (Palko, Beardsley, & Richards, 1982). Coastal distribution and abundance seems to be strongly related to surface temperature and distance from temperature fronts (Farrel, Boustany, Halpin, & Hammond, 2014; Furukawa et al., 2014). The dolphinfish

has a high growth rate and fast sexual maturity (Alemany & Massuti, 1998; Castro, Santiago, Hernandez-Garcia, & Pla, 1999), which may be associated with physiological adaptations for a pelagic predator (Benetti, Brill, & Kraul, 1995). The dolphinfish is a general predator that feeds on fish, crab, and squid; this species expends a lot of energy seeking and catching prey in the epipelagic zone, and it consumes ~5.6% of its body mass in food each day (Olson & Galván-Magaña, 2002; Aguilar-Palomino et al., 1998). The dolphinfish can reach up to one meter long and weighs up to 8 kg in its first year of life, and lives an average of two years and a maximum of five years (Beardsley, 1967). Between these ages it can reach 2 m long and weigh up to 40 kg. From six months old, males are usually bigger and heavier than females, and their neurocranium develops more in the front part of their head (Benetti, Brill, & Kraul, 1995). Males and females mature at four or five months, start to reproduce when they reach 20 cm long, and reproduce about three times a year (Hagood, Rothwelly, Swafford, & Tosaki, 1981; Chatterji & Ansari, 1982).

The dolphinfish is exploited in industrial, small-scale artisanal and recreational fisheries (Palko et al., 1982; Sakamato & Kojima, 1999; Morales-Nim et al., 1999; CIB, 2007). Most of the existing information about dolphinfish fishing is based on studies conducted in warm water, especially in the South East of the United States (Palko et al., 1982; Thompson, 1999; Norton, 2000; Merten et al., 2014), Japan (Sakamoto & Kojima, 1999), the Western Atlantic and the Caribbean (Oxenford, 1985, 1999; Oxenford & Hunte, 1986) and the Mediterranean Sea (Massuti & Morales-Nim, 1997; Lleonart, Morales-Nim, Massutti, Deudero, & Reñones, 1999; Morales-Nim et al., 1999). In the Atlantic Ocean, commercial fisheries occur from Florida to Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (Palko et al., 1982; Parker, Singh-Renton, Hackett, & Launckner, 2000; Prager, 2000) though in the East coast of the United States (from Florida to North Carolina), recreational fishing of dolphinfish accounts for the largest proportion of fishing of the species (Oxenford & Hunte, 1986; Thompson, 1999). While in the Pacific Ocean, a large proportion of the species is also fished in Mexico, where some areas industrial fishing is prohibited and only sport and recreational fishing are allowed (Alejo-Plata, Gomez-Marquez, & Salgado-Ugarte, 2011; Alejo-Plata, Gomez, & Serrano-Guzman, 2014). In tropical zones, specifically Central and South America, the species is commercially exploited from Southern Mexico (Alejo-Plata et al., 2011, 2014) to Southern Peru in the Pacific Ocean (Estrella, Guevara-Carrasco, & Palacios, 1998; Lasso & Zapata, 1999), and the Gulf of Mexico to South West Caribbean

(Mahon & Oxenford, 1999; Parker et al., 2000; Prager, 2000).

In the Eastern Tropical Pacific (ETP), the highest exploitation rates of dolphinfish occur in Costa Rica and Ecuador (Patterson & Martinez, 1991; Martínez-Ortiz & Zúñiga-Flores, 2012). In these countries, exploitation of this species is higher than observed in shrimp (Peneidae) and sharks (Carcharinidae), but slightly lower than values registered for tuna (Campos, Segura, Lizano, & Madrigal, 1993; Lasso & Zapata, 1999). Throughout this region, dolphinfish is subject to different fishing methods. For example, in Mexico dolphinfish is reserved for sport fishing and commercial exploitation is prohibited (Rocha-Olivares & Chavez-Gonzalez, 2008). In other regions of the ETP, industrial fishing of the species is very important for the local economies (Lasso & Zapata, 1999). Dolphinfish also is taken from the Central Eastern Pacific: 60% of the total incidental catch of this species reported for tuna purse-seine fishing occurred in this area (Martinez-Rincon, Ortega-Garcia, & Vaca-Rodriguez, 2009).

In Panama, the dolphinfish is among the most commercially important species, as industrial and small-scale fisheries and recreational fishermen fish it. However, no specific information about the fishery in Panama exists. Lasso & Zapata (1999) suggested the existence of only one stock in the Gulf of Panama and that it is related to the previously established stock for Costa Rica, Colombia, and Ecuador.

Herein, we evaluated the dolphinfish fishery in Pacific waters of Panama for the first time. We generated a growth model and examined fluctuations in annual total catch and in catch per unit effort (CPUE) per year of the species over a four-year period (2006-2009) using data collected from industrial vessels in the Gulf of Panama and the Gulf of Chiriquí and filling the existing gap in information about dolphinfish between the Central American and Northern South American fisheries. The results can be used to begin to develop a regional management strategy for conservation of this resource.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling: The biometric data used in this study are based on catches of dolphinfish from the industrial fisheries in open-sea Gulf of Panama/Gulf of Chiriquí and coastal Gulf of Chiriquí, which are located in the Pacific Ocean of the Republic of Panama. Coordinates for fishing grounds were not provided by fishermen adducing confidential information from the industry. Between November 2007 and January 2010, biometric measurements (length, weight, visual maturity, and sex) were made onboard industrial vessels and at processing plants, and landing sites, following standard procedures. Total length of specimens was measured using a custom-made ichthyometer to the nearest mm and weight with a digital crane scale Model OCS to the nearest 0.1kg. Sampling of all four parameters was conducted only onboard vessels, however maturity (e.g., sexual mature) of individuals was not measured at the processing plants and landing sites because the fish had already been gutted, and was obtained for general information and not for quantitative analyses by gross visual examination of the gonads (sensu Schwenke & Buckel, 2008). Gross visual examination of ovaries was used to classify maturity stages: stage I, immature; stage II, early maturity; stage III, late maturating; IV, ripe; and stage V, spent (for details see Bearsley, 1967). Sex was determined through fish external morphology and macroscopic examination of the gonads. A total of 14913 dolphinfish were measured from the industrial fishery: 10459 fish represented open-sea fishing (> 50 km from shore) in the gulfs of Chiriquí and Panama, and 4454 exclusive from near shore (< 50 km) Gulf of Chiriquí, respectively. Access to small-scale artisanal fishing in the Gulf of Panama was limited and not included in the study.

Length-weight relationship and agegrowth model: The length-weight relationship was determined based on length and weight data from the 10459 dolphinfish collected onboard open-sea vessels, because the remaining 4454

only length was measured. The FAST 2.1 program (Slipke & Maceina, 2005) was used to calculate both regressions and a length versus age chart. This program had been designed exclusively for fishery analysis showing reliable results in similar studies (Sakaris, Daugherty, & Buckmeier, 2011; Kaeser, Bonvechio, Harrison, & Weller, 2011). Dolphinfish growth was determined by the von Bertalanffy model (von Bertalanffy, 1938) using the equation  $L_t = L_{\infty} (1 - e^{-K(t - t0)})$ . In addition, parameters (a, b, K, and  $\theta$ ) were also determined from the length-weight relationship.

Size, age distribution, sex ratio, and weight structures: A size frequency histogram was constructed based on total length (TL) data in millimeters. Among fishery studies means in TL, standard length and fork length are very consistent and evidence of reduced bias between these measurements has been observed (Kahn, Pearson, & Dick, 2003). Once the different age classes were determined following size criteria established by Palko et al., 1982, a catch by age chart was created using the program FAST 2.1 (Slipke & Maceina, 2005). The sex ratio was determined by the ratio of the sexes (female/male) present per year. A pronounced crest in the males and absence in females determined sex difference while in young animals a macroscopic examination of the gonads was conducted (Collete, 1995). Exploratory analyses found these data to be skewed and non-parametric. Therefore, consistency of age and size structure was tested using a Kruskall-Wallis among years and a Mann Whitney pairwise test between sexes per year. The overall weight fluctuation of the fish was also analyzed per year using descriptive statistics.

Fishery assessment and CPUE: Overall assessment of the open-sea industrial fishery (n = 10459) was made using collected data (weight, size, and sex) and total catch data records from the processing plant (2006-2009). Seasonal analysis of the catch was examined by comparing interannual and intra-annual data. CPUE was determined by kg/day/vessel tabulated and charted per year and month for the four-year period studied (Everhart & Young, 1981). Typically, a vessel used ca. 1000 hook/day in average (range 700-1700). The significance of the interannual and intra-annual fluctuations in catch per year and by month was measured using Kruskal-Wallis test.

Mortality: Mortality was estimated using an empirical formula of Pauly (1980) using a Weighted Catch-Curve Regression analysis that allows us to estimate natural mortality (M) and survival (S) using the software FAST 2.1. We also estimated the K/M ratio for C. hippurus also using FAST 2.1.

## RESULTS

The average size for the 10459 fish caught by open-sea fishery was  $1011 \pm 1.71 \text{ mm} (\pm$ se) and the range was 353-1715 mm. For the coastal fisheries, the average size of the 4454 fish from the Gulf of Chiriquí was  $1069 \pm 2.48$ mm (range, 589-1840 mm). Four age classes were recorded among the fish. The greatest number of fish fell within age classes 2 and 3 while a reduced number of individuals belong to age classes 1 and 4.

Length-weight relationship and agegrowth model: The length-weight relationship was significant and positive ( $r^2 = 0.841$ , p < 0.001) (Fig. 1). The growth parameters used to



Fig. 1. Weight-length regression for Coryphaena hippurus in Pacific Panama.

(cc) (Đ) (Ξ Rev. Biol. Trop. (Int. J. Trop. Biol. ISSN-0034-7744) Vol. 63 (3): 705-716, September 2015

develop the von Bertalanffy equation were also obtained from this relationship (a = -6.73, b = 3.44, K = 0.36 mm/year, and  $L_{\infty}$  =1715 mm), and the model calculated from these values revealed a growth efficiency of  $\theta$  = 4.61.

Size structure, age distribution, sex ratio, and weight range: The maximum sizes of *C. hippurus* for the open-sea fishery (10459) corresponded to ages 3 (1200 mm-1499 mm) and 4 (1500-2000mm). However, the greatest number of fish belonged to age class 2 (600-1199 mm) while fish smaller than 599 mm were considered at age 1. The frequency histogram showed a normal size distribution between 353 mm and 1715 mm, with an average size of 1010.85 mm and a mode of 1000 mm among the four age classes observed (Fig. 2). Interannual comparisons (2007-2009) revealed that females were abundant in the

fishery for the three years period and age class 2, with maximums numbers in 2008 and maximum size skewed to class 3 in 2009 (Fig. 2).

The weight of the sampled fish ranged from 0.22 to 29.71 kg, with an average weight of 4.94 kg and a mode of 2.7 kg. In the total sample, the sex ratio favored females at 1.5:1 (females/males). However, 86% of fish with lengths of  $\geq$  1.5 m (ages 4 and 5) were males. Regarding fecundity, > 90% of the analyzed individuals exhibited grade III of maturity (i.e., sexually mature females with enlarged ovaries and at least two distinct size of eggs easily visible at naked eye bright yellow to orange).

Assessment of fishing and CPUE: Catch data by age and sex revealed that the highest numbers of fish caught were in age class 2 (mm) and medium catch values were recorded for age 3 (mm) while the lowest catch values



Fig. 2. Overall size frequency distribution and interannual (2007-2009) comparison by sex for *Coryphaena hippurus* industrial fishery in Pacific Panama.

Rev. Biol. Trop. (Int. J. Trop. Biol. ISSN-0034-7744) Vol. 63 (3): 705-716, September 2015

 $(\mathbf{h}) \in$ 

were reported for ages 1 (< 599 mm) and 4 (1500-2000 mm). Females were dominantly abundant than males at age 2 and relatively similar for other ages (Fig. 3). Analysis of the total catch per year showed an increase in fishing activity over the past few years, with maximums in 2008 (1370T) and 2009 (1114T) (Table 1). Similarly, the highest numbers of vessels were recorded in the area in 2008 and 2009 (Table 1). Nevertheless, this increase in fishing activity was not significant (Kruskall-Wallis, H = 5.482, p = 0.140). Similarly, CPUE showed maximums in 2008 and 2009 (Table 1). For the four-year study period, the values of this variable ranged between 3.4 and 440.43 (kg/day/ vessel), with an average of 104 (kg/day/vessel). The interannual and intra-annual variation of



Fig. 3. Total catch (T) per age and sex for *Coryphaena hippurus* in Pacific Panama: age 1 (0-599 mm), age 2 (600-1199 mm), age 3 (1200-1499 mm), age 4 (1500-2000 mm).

CPUE showed two annual maximums, a highest intensity one between November and January (with maximums in December) followed by April and May; these patterns were consistent during the four years studied (Fig. 4).

**Mortality:** Calculated mortality (M) and survival (S) values using a Weighted Catch-Curve Regression analysis were 0.524 and 0.476 respectively. K/M ratio was 1.44 using the K value of 0.36 (mm/year) obtained from the length-weight relationship discussed in previous section.

#### DISCUSSION

Developing an accurate growth model and understanding the selective pressures experienced by fish due to fishing (e.g. mortality due to overfishing and the fishing effort, among others) are essential for long-term and efficient management, and for maintaining sustainability and reproductive capacity (fitness) of dolphinfish (Maunder et al., 2006; Schwenke & Buckel, 2008). The failure to effectively manage marine resources can have severe economic consequences in developing countries, particularly those whose economic growth is strongly supported by the fishing sector (Montenegro, 2007). Dolphinfish is one of the main fish species of commercial importance in the corridor of the Eastern Tropical Pacific and, although it is fished across its distribution in the corridor there is no evidence of overexploitation of the resource in this zone, based on industrial and recreational fishing.

Our results showed an age distribution and size frequency histogram with a normal distribution and maximums similar to those

 TABLE 1

 Total annual catch (t), mean monthly catch (t), mean catch per unit effort (CPUE; kg/day/vessel), and total number of vessels per year

Year	Total catch	Monthly catch	Annual CPUE	Total vessels
2006	735	61	76.65	31
2007	1 047	87	93.52	35
2008	1 370	114	132.48	39
2009	1 114	93	113.34	35

Rev. Biol. Trop. (Int. J. Trop. Biol. ISSN-0034-7744) Vol. 63 (3): 705-716, September 2015





Fig. 4. Monthly catch per unit effort (CPUE; kg/vessel/day) for *Coryphaena hippurus* in Pacific Panama between 2006 and 2009.

found for dolphinfish in the central Atlantic (Canary Island) (Castro et al., 1999) for oceanic and coastal zones of the North Pacific Ocean (CIB, 2007; Ditty, Shaw, Grimes, & Cope, 1994), the Tehuantepec Gulf in Mexico (Alejo-Plata et al., 2011) and the Eastern Tropical Pacific (Campos, et al., 1993; Lasso & Zapata, 1999; Martínez-Ortiz & Zúñiga-Flores, 2012). Furthermore, the maximum size and weight recorded in this study (1715 mm and 29.71 kg, respectively) were close to the maximums recorded in other zones (2000 mm and 30 kg) (Uchiyama, Burch, & Kraul, 1986; Lasso, 1996; Díaz-Jaimes, Uribe-Alcocer, Ortega-Garcia, & Durand, 2006). A latitudinal variation in size has been observed in Ecuador, increasing in size toward the North (Martínez-Ortiz & Zúñiga-Flores, 2012).

Although the sex ratio of the total sample favored females, 86% of males belonged to ages 3 and 4 with the biggest sizes reported only male individuals 1700 and 1751 during 2008. This size distribution that favors males is consistent with results reported in previous studies for the species (Rose & Hassler, 1969; Palko et al., 1982; Alejo-Plata et al., 2011). A possible explanation for the lack of females in the larger size classes is either males have a life expectancy that is slightly longer than that of females or the sexes may exhibit differential patterns of distribution during the last season of growth (Rose & Hassler, 1969; Oxenford, 1999)

The length-weight and age data in this study were similar to those recorded in other dolphinfish studies (Rose & Hassler, 1969; Rivera & Appeldoorn, 2000) and reflect allometric growth. The K value (0.36 mm/year) recorded in this study is within the range previously reported for the species and is close to that recorded by Patterson and Martínez (1991) (K = 0.41) for dolphinfish populations in Ecuador and K = 0.40 for Indian Ocean populations (Benjamin & Madhusoodana, 2012). Martinez-Ortiz and Zuniga-Flores (2012) recently reported K values of 0.37 and 0.38 for males and females, respectively. Our study shows K values between 0.25-1.18, which is consistent for species with a high growth rate (Uchiyama et al., 1986; Patterson & Martinez, 1991; Castro et al., 1999; Lasso & Zapata, 1999). The growth efficiency calculated in this study was 4.61, which is within the range reported for Coryphaena hippurus (3.95-4.70), and similar to 4.07 reported for Ecuador (Martínez-Ortiz & Zúñiga-Flores, 2012) and 4.18 reported by Alejo-Plata et al. (2011). This high growth rate also reflects the fast maturity of the species (Pew, 1957; Hinton, 1962; Castro et al., 1999), which allows them to reach commercial sizes and weights in a short period of time.

Few studies have analyzed mortality. Obtained mortality value (0.52) as well as K/M ratio (1.44) is similar to previous values obtained for *C. hippurus* and close related species (Bentivoglio, 1988; Oxenford, 1985; Murray, 1985; Sparre & Venema, 1992; Benjamin & Madhusoodana, 2012, Manjusha et al., 2012). In particular, Murray (1985) and Manjusha et al. (2012) reported comparable values of 0.66 and 0.60, respectively.

Previous studies have reported seasonal variations in catch and CPUE for this species, with two maximums: the first between December and February and the second between April and May (Lasso & Zapata, 1999), contrasting with Ecuador that shows only one maximum between October and February with a peak in December (Martínez-Ortiz & Zúñiga-Flores, 2012). These maximums are consistent with those recorded in our study. The two catch maximums were also consistent in the seasonal scale studied. Recently, Zuñiga, Ortega-Garcia and Klett-Traulsen (2008) demonstrated a positive and significant correlation between catch of dolphinfish and surface temperature, and it is possible that temperature plays a key role in determining reproduction and nursery zones of species populations (Ditty et al., 1994; Alemany & Massuti, 1998). In conjunction with surface temperature, reproductive pattern might play an important role in the bimodal distribution of the CPUE observed in this study. Although the species can consistently reproduce throughout the year (as indicated by the presence of mature individuals and females in stage 3 throughout the year), data indicate that the species has a bimodal reproductive pattern (Zapata, 1993; Estrella et al., 1998; Lasso & Zapata, 1999).

Although the increase in the number of boats coincided with the years of the largest

catch rates and CPUE (2008 and 2009), the increase in the number of boats per year was not significant (p = 0.140). This shows that the increase and fluctuation in the catch can be affected by other factors, such as changes in the surface temperature, migration, and reproduction, and not only fishing effort (Kingsford & Defries, 1999; Kraul, 1999). The maximum catches observed coincided with the dry season, during which lower surface temperature values were recorded in the Gulf of Panama as a result of the upwelling process (D'Croz & O'Dea, 2007). Similarly, between 1994 and 1996, the peak catches occurred between December and April in Colombian waters (Lasso & Zapata, 1999). In other areas, such as the Baja California Peninsula, C. hippurus migrations have been observed associated with variations in the surface temperature (Norton, 2000). Additionally, migrations of the species to the South have occurred in the Eastern Tropical Pacific due to the influence of the El Niño phenomenon (Estrella et al., 1998).

Although the calculated CPUE values in our study correspond only to dolphinfish caught by the industrial fishery, these values provide a good preliminary estimate of the interannual and intra-annual fluctuations of fishing activity and their possible effect on the sustainability of the species (Kraul, 1999). Although CPUE usually is used to estimate fishing stocks and their abundance, the use of this kind of data is complicated because CPUE rarely tells the full story of exploitation of a species that is affected by more than one factor (Lleonart et al., 1999; Maunder et al., 2006). The CPUE data obtained in this study were within the range of values estimated by Lasso and Zapata (1999), which included populations from Panama, Colombia, and Ecuador. Although our values reflect only industrial fishing (which has been estimated to account for 60% of total fishing of the species in other countries, including small-scale fishing and, in some cases, recreational fishing), our total catch values and CPUE are well below the high value recorded in 1990 in Ecuador (11600T) the zone with the highest catch in the Pacific Ocean (Patterson & Martinez,

1991) using longlines with 100-700 hooks, and other zones of the Pacific (Kraul, 1999). This may be a good indicator of the sustainability of dolphinfish populations in Pacific Panama. Another good indicator of fishing sustainability may be the low rate at which the small fish (< 599 mm age 1) are caught (e.g. reduced number of fish were caught at this size class and age); this value could be used for the future establishment of a minimum catch size in Panama. Furthermore, the increasing slightly trend if annual catch suggests that the fishery needs to be regulated in the near future (e.g. quota, minimum size, number, size and type of hook, length of long-line, fishing ground, among others).

In the future, better fishing estimates are needed, including catch estimates for the rest of the Panamanian industrial fleet and more data on small-scale artisanal and recreational fishing, which have proved to be relevant in zones of the Pacific Ocean such as Mexico (Rocha-Olivares & Chávez-González, 2008). This study did not evaluate extensively the smallscale artisanal fishing that occurs in coastal waters, where we believe larger populations of young individuals vulnerable to overexploitation may exist. Finally, stock assessments along the Eastern Tropical Pacific are essential for establishing regional management and conservation policies for dolphinfish, and may include a large-scale tagging program to evaluate spatial and temporal movements in this region (sensu Merten et al., 2014), particularly, between Colombia and Costa Rica.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the industrial fishing company Panalang-Union Group for supporting the project, providing access to their facilities (boats, dock and processing plant) and catch statistical records. We thank F. Quezada, J. Riggs, J. Morales, B. Perez, E. Díaz, C. Gomez, and C. Guevara for support during fieldwork and data processing, and the Republic of Panama for permitting this study. This project was partially funded by the National Secretary of Science and Technology of Panama (SENACYT) and the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute.

#### RESUMEN

Evaluación de la pesca del pez dorado Coryphaena hippurus (Perciformes: Coryphaenidae) en el Pacífico de Panamá. El dorado (Coryphaena hippurus, Linnaeus, 1758) es una especie altamente migratoria explotada comercialmente por la pesca industrial, artesanal y deportiva en aguas tropicales y subtropicales del mundo. Aquí evaluamos por primera vez la pesca industrial del dorado en el Pacífico de Panamá, examinamos un modelo de crecimiento y las fluctuaciones anuales en la captura total y por unidad de esfuerzo durante cuatro años (2006-2009). Las capturas anuales y mensuales así como los parámetros biológicos de 14913 individuos fueron obtenidos a bordo de embarcaciones industriales, áreas de desembarque y registros de las plantas procesadoras. Las frecuencias de tamaño para las capturas industriales mostraron una distribución normal entre 353 y 1715 mm (promedio, 1010.85 mm, n = 10459) entre las cuatro clases de edad observadas. El peso promedio fue de 4.94 kg. La proporción de sexos fue ligeramente sesgada hacia las hembras. Más del 90% de los peces analizados eran sexualmente maduros. La relación longitud-peso fue positiva y significativa, lo que refleja un crecimiento alométrico. Los parámetros de crecimiento utilizando la ecuación de von Bertalanffy revelaron una eficiencia de crecimiento de  $\theta = 4.61$ , que está dentro del rango reportado previamente para la especie Coryphaena hippurus (3.95-4.70). Los peces más grandes se encontraron entre las clases de edad 2 y 3 (700-1400 mm). Los valores de captura total por año y la captura por unidad de esfuerzo (CPUE) por año fluctuaron con mayores valores registrados entre 2008 y 2009. Los valores de captura registrados en nuestro estudio son preliminares y parecen estar por debajo de los registrados en otras partes de la región y cautelosamente podrían representar un indicador de la utilización sostenible de este recurso marino considerando incluso la ausencia de acciones de gestión en Panamá.

Palabras clave: Panamá, Mahi-Mahi, dorado, Coryphaena hippurus, pesquería, Pacífico oriental.

#### REFERENCES

- Aguilar-Palomino, B., Galvan-Magaña, F., Abitia-Cardenas, L., Muhlia-Melo, A., & Rodriguez-Romero, J. (1998). Aspectos alimentarios del dorado Coryphaena hippurus Linnaeus 1758 en Cabo San Lucas, Baja California Sur, Mexico. Ciencias Marinas, 24, 253-265.
- Alejo-Plata, C., Gómez, J., & Serrano-Guzmán, S. (2014). Variabilidad en la abundancia relativa, estructura por tallas y proporción por sexos del dorado

*Coryphaena hippurus* (Pisces: Coryphaenidae) en Golfo de Tehuantepec, Mexico. *Revista de Biologia Tropical*, *62*, 611-626.

- Alejo-Plata, C., Gómez-Márquez, J., & Salgado-Ugarte, I. (2011). Edad y crecimiento del dorado Coryphaena hippurus, en el Golfo de Tehuantepec, México. Revista de Biologia Marina y Oceanografía, 46, 125-134.
- Alemany, F., & Massuti, E. (1998). First record of larval stages of *Coryphaena hippurus* (Pisces: Coryphaenidae) in the Mediterranean Sea. *Scientia Marina*, 62, 181-184.
- Beardsley, G. L. (1967). Age, growth, and reproduction of the dolphinfish, *Coryphaena hippurus*, in the Straits of Florida. *Copeia*, 1967, 441-451.
- Benjamin, D., & Madhusoodana, K. (2012). Stock assessment of *Dolphinfish Coryphaena hippurus* (Linnaeus, 1758) off southwest coast of India. *Marine Biological Association of India*, 54, 95-99.
- Benetti, D. D., Brill, R. W., & Kraul, S. A. (1995). The standard metabolic rate of dolphin fish. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 46, 987-996.
- Bentivoglio, A. A. (1988). Investigations into the growth, maturity, mortality rates and occurrence of the dolphin (Coryphaena hippurus, Linnaeus) in the Gulf of Mexico (M. Sc. thesis). University College of North Wales, Bangor, UK.
- Campos, J. A., Segura, A., Lizano, O., & Madrigal, E. (1993). Basic ecology of *Coryphaena hippurus* (Pisces: Coryphaenidae) and abundance of other pelagic organisms in the Pacific of Costa Rica. *Revista de Biologia Tropical*, 41, 783-790.
- Castro, J. J., Santiago J. A., Hernandez-Garcia, V., & Pla, C. (1999). Growth and reproduction of the dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus* and *Coryphaena equiselis*) in the Canary Islands Central East Atlantic (Preliminary results). *Scientia Marina*, 63, 317-325.
- Chatterji, A., & Ansari, Z. (1982). Fecundity of the dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) L Mahasagar Bulletin of the National Institute of Oceanography, 15, 129-133.
- CIB (Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas del Pacífico Norte). (2007). Evaluación biológico-pesquera del Dorado (*Coryphaena hippurus* y *C. equiselis*) en el Océano Pacífico. Región I. Sonora, México.
- Collete, B. B. (1995). Coryphaenidae. In W. Fisher, F. Krupp, W. Schneider, C. Sommer, K. E. Carpenter, & V. H. Niem (Eds.), Guía FAO para la identificación de especies para los fines de la pesca. Pacífico Centro-Oriental (Volumen II, Vertebrados parte 1, pp.1036-1038). Roma FAO.
- D'Croz, L., & O'Dea, A. (2007). Variability in upwelling along the Pacific shelf of Panama and implications for the distribution of nutrients and chlorophyll. *Estuaries and Coastal Shelf Science*, 73, 325-340.

- Díaz-Jaimes, P., Uribe-Alcocer M., Ortega-Garcia S., & Durand, J. D. (2006). Spatial and temporal mitochondrial DNA genetic homogeneity of dolphinfish populations (*Coryphaena hippurus*) in the eastern central Pacific. *Fishery Research*, 80, 333-338.
- Ditty, J. G., Shaw R. F., Grimes C. B., & Cope, J. S. (1994). Larval development, distribution and abundance of common dolphin (*Coryphaena hyppurus*) and pompano dolphin (*Coryphaena equiselis*) in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. *Fishery Bulletin*, 92, 275-291.
- Estrella, C., Guevara-Carrasco, R., & Palacios, J. (1998). Informe estadístico de los recursos hidrobiológicos de la pesca artesanal por especies, artes, caletas y meses durante el primer semester de 1998. *Informe Instituto Marino del Perú, 139*, 7-238.
- Everhart, W. H., & Young, A. W. (1981). Principles of fishery science. Ithaca, NY: Cornell Univ. Press.
- Farrel, E. R., Boustany, A., Halpin, P., & Hammond, D. (2014). Dolphinfish distribution in relation to biophysical ocean conditions in the Northwest Atlantic. *Fisheries Research*, 151, 177-190.
- Furukawa, S., Tsuda, Y., Nishihara, G., Fujioka, K., Ohshimo, S., Tomoe, S., Nakatsuka, N., Kimura, H., Aoshima, T., Kanehara, H., Kitagawa, T., Chiang, W., Nakata, H., & Kawabe, R. (2014). Vertical movements of Pacific bluefin tuna & dolphinfish relative to the thermocline in the Northern East China sea. *Fishery Research, 149*, 86-91.
- Hagood, R. W., Rothwelly, G. N., Swafford, M., & Tosaki, M. (1981). Preliminary report on the aquacultural development of the Dolphin Fish, *Coryphaena hyppurus. Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 12, 135-139.
- Hinton, S. (1962). Longevity of fishes with list. Zoologica, 47, 105-116.
- Kaeser, A., Bonvechio, T., Harrison, D., & Weller, R. (2011). Population dynamics of introduced flathead catfish in rivers of southern Georgia. *American Fisheries Society Symposium*, 77, 409-422.
- Kahnm, R., Pearson, D., & Dick, E. (2003). Comparison of standard length, fork length and total length for measuring west coast marine fishes. *Marine Fishery Review*, 66(1), 31-33.
- Kingsford, M., & Defries, A. (1999). The ecology and fisheries for *Coryphaena* spp. In the waters around Autralia and New Zealand. *Scientia Marina*, 63, 267-275.
- Kraul, S. (1999). Seasonal abundance of the dolphin fish *Coryphaena hippurus*, in Hawaii and the tropical Pacific Ocean. *Scientia Marina*, 63, 261-266.
- Lasso, J. (1996). Evaluación del Recurso Dorado, familia Coryphaenidae (*Coryphaena hippurus* Linnaeus 1758) en el Pacífico Colombiano (1994-1995). Inf. Tec. Final INPA/Colciencias. Buenaventura.



- Lasso, J., & Zapata, L. (1999). Fisheries and biology of *Coryphaena hippurus* in the Pacific coast of Colombia and Panama. *Scientia Marina*, *63*, 387-399.
- Lleonart, J., Morales-Nim B., Massuti E., Deudero, S., & Reñones, O. (1999). Population dynamics and fishery of dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*) in the western Mediterranean. *Scientia Marina*, 63, 447-467.
- Mahon, R., & Oxenford, H. (1999). Precautionary assessment and management of the dolphin-fish in the Caribbean. *Scientia Marina*, 63, 429-438.
- Manjusha, S., Madhusoodana Kurup, B., Rajisha, R., Benjamin, D., Saravannane, N., & Narayana Sanyeevan, V. (2012). Studies on population characteristics of *Coryphaena hippurus*, (L) (Pisces: Perciformes) from Indian waters. *Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences*, 2, 72-82.
- Martínez-Ortiz, O., & Zúñiga-Flores, M. (2012). Estudio de caso: Estado actual del conocimiento del recurso dorado (*Coryphaena hippurus*) Linnaeus, 1758 en aguas del Océano Pacífico Suroriental (2008-2011). Boletín Técnico MAGAP-MSC-EPESPO. Ecuador.
- Martínez-Rincón, R., Ortega-García, S., & Vaca-Rodríguez, J. (2009). Incidental catch of Dolphin fish reported by the Mexican tuna purse seiners in the Eastern Pacific Ocean. *Fishery Research*, 96, 296-302.
- Massuti, E., Deudero, S., Sanchez, P., & Morales-Nim, B. (1998). Diet and feeding of dolphin in Western Mediterranean waters. *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 63, 329-341.
- Massuti, E., & Morales-Nim, B. (1997). Reproductive biology of the dolphin-Fish (*Coryphaena hippurus*) of the Island of Mayorca (Western Mediterranean). *Fishery Research*, 30, 57-65.
- Maunder, M., Sibert, J., Fonteneau, A., Hampton, J., Kleiber, P., & Harley, S. (2006). Interpreting catch per unit effort to assess the status of individual stocks and communities. ICES *Journal of Marine Science*, 63, 1373-1385.
- Merten, W., Appeldoorn, R., & Hammond, D. (2014). Movement of dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*) along the US east coast as determine through mark and recapture data. *Fishery Research*, 151, 114-121.
- Montenegro, R. (2007). Valoración económica de los recursos pesqueros del Parque Nacional Coiba. Panama: Conservation Strategy Fund.
- Morales-Nim, B., Di Stefano, M., Potoschi, A., Massut, E., Rizzo, P., & Gancitano, S. (1999). Differences between the sagitta, lapillus and vertebra in estimating age and growth in juvenile Mediterranean dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*). Scientia Marina, 63, 327-336.
- Murray, P. A. (1985). Growth and mortality in the dolphin-fish Coryphaena hippurus caught off Saint Lucia, W.I. In WECAFC (Ed.), National reports and

selected papers presented at the fourth session of the Working Party on assessment of marine fishery resources (pp. 147-153). Paipa, Department of Boyaca, Colombia, 29 October - 2 November, 1984. FAO Fish. Rep, 327.

- Norton, J. (2000). Apparent habitat extensions of dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*) in response to climate transcients in the California current. *Scientia Marina*, 63, 239-260.
- Olson, R. J., & Galván-Magaña, F. (2002). Food habits and consumption rates of common dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*) in the eastern Pacific Ocean. *Fishery Bulletin*, 100, 279-298.
- Oxenford, H. A. (1985). The biology of the dolphin fish *Coryphaena hippurus* and its implications for the Barbadian fishery (Doctoral thesis). University of the West Indies, Cave Hill, Barbados.
- Oxenford, H. A. (1999). The biology of the dolphin fish in the Western Atlantic: A review. *Scientia Marina*, 63(3-4), 277-301.
- Oxenford, H. A., & Hunte, W. (1986). A preliminary investigation of structure of the dolphinfish *Coryphaena hippurus* in the Western Central Atlantic. *Fishery Bulletin*, 84, 451-460.
- Palko, B. J., Beardsley, G. L., & Richards, W. J. (1982). Synopsis of the biological data on dolphin fishes Coryphaena hippurus Linnaeus and Coryphaena equiselis Linnaeus. FAO Fish. Synop. 130. Rome.
- Parker, C., Singh-Renton, S., Hackett, A., & Launckner, F. B. (2000). Assessment of dolphinfish (Coryphaena hippurus) fishery using eastern Caribbean data. Working document CFRAMP PRFA/2000/2005.
- Patterson, K. R., & Martinez, J. (1991). Exploitation of the dolphin fish *Coryphaena hippurus* L. off Ecuador: Analysis by length-based virtual population analysis. *Fishbyte*, 9, 21-23.
- Pauly, D. (1980). On the interrelationships between natural mortality, growth parameters, and mean environmental temperature in 175 fish stocks. *Journal du Conseil Permanent International pour L'explotation de la Mer*, 39, 175-192.
- Pew, P. (1957). Occurrence of young dolphin, *Coryphaena hippurus*, in a Texas bay. *Copeia*, 1957, 300.
- Prager, M. H. (2000). Explanatory assessment of dolphinfish, Coryphaena hippurus, based on US landings from the Atlantic Ocean and Gulf of Mexico (Laboratory document). Beufort, NC: NMFS, NOAA.
- Rivera, G., & Appeldoorn, R. S. (2000). Age and growth of dolphinfish, *Coryphaena hippurus* off Puerto Rico. *Fishery Bulletin*, 98, 345-352.
- Rocha-Olivares, A., & Chavez-Gonzalez, J. P. (2008). Molecular identification of dolphinfish species (Genus *Coryphaena*) using multiplex haplotype-specific PCR

Rev. Biol. Trop. (Int. J. Trop. Biol. ISSN-0034-7744) Vol. 63 (3): 705-716, September 2015

of mitochondrial DNA. Ichthyological Research, 55, 389-393.

- Rose, C., & Hassler, W. (1969). Age and growth of the dolphin, *Coryphaena hippurus* (Linnaeus) in North Carolina waters. *Transactions of the American Fishery Society*, 97, 271-276.
- Sakamoto, R., & Kojima, S. (1999). Review of dolphinfish biological and fishing data in Japanese waters. *Scientia Marina*, 63, 375-385.
- Sakaris, P., Daugherty, D., & Buckmeier, D. (2011). Validation of daily ring deposition in the otoliths of age 0 blue catfish and flathead catfish. *American Fishery Society Symposium*, 77, 000-000.
- Schwenke, K., & Buckel, J. A. (2008). Age, growth, and reproduction of dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*) caught off the coast of North Carolina. *Fishery Bulletin*, 106, 82-92.
- Slipke, J., & Maceina, M. (2005). Fishery Analysis and Simulation Tools (FAST 2.1). Department of Fisheries and Allied Aquacultures, Auburn University, Auburn. 156 pp.

- Sparre, P., & Venema, S. (1992). Introduction to tropical fish assessment. FAO Fish Technical Paper, 306-376.
- Thompson, N. (1999). Characterization of the dolphinfish fishery of the United States Western North Atlantic Ocean. Scientia Marina, 63, 421-427.
- Uchiyama, J., Burch, R., & Kraul, S. (1986). Growth of Dolphins, *Coryphaena hippurus* and *C. equiselis*, in Hawaiian waters as determined by daily increments on otoliths. *Fishery Bulletin*, 84(1), 186-191.
- Von Bertalanffy, L. (1938). A quantitative theory of organic growth (inquiries on growth laws II). *Human Biology*, 10, 181-213.
- Zapata, L. A. (1993). Evaluación preliminar de la pesca blanca en el Pacífico Colombiano. Inf. Tec. Inpa/ COLCIENCIAS. Buenaventura.
- Zuñiga, M., Ortega-Garcia, S., & Klett-Traulsen, A. (2008). Interannual and seasonal variation of dolphinfish (*Coryphaena hippurus*) catch rates in the southern Gulf of California, Mexico. *Fishery Research*, 94, 13-17.

