# **ODOVTOS**

International Journal of Dental Sciences https://revistas.ucr.ac.cr/index.php/Odontos I ISSN: 2215-3411

# **BASIC RESEARCH**

#### DOI: 10.15517/ijds.2023.53811

Received: Effect of Voxel Size of Micro-CT on the Assessment of Root Canal Preparation

Accepted: 16-I-2023

Published Online: 20-1-2023

Efecto del tamaño de vóxel de micro-CT en la evaluación de la preparación del conducto radicular

Jader Camilo Pinto DDS, PhD<sup>1</sup>; Everton Lucas-Oliveira PhD<sup>2</sup>; Tito Jose Bonagamba PhD<sup>3</sup>; Juliane Maria Guerreiro-Tanomaru DDS, PhD<sup>4</sup>; Mario Tanomaru-Filho DDS, PhD<sup>5</sup>

1. Department of Restorative Dentistry, Sao Paulo State University (UNESP), School of Dentistry, Araraquara, SP, Brazil. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2023-1589

2. Sao Carlos Institute of Physics, University of Sao Paulo, PO Box 369, 13560-970 Sao Carlos, SP, Brazil. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1353-918X

3. Sao Carlos Institute of Physics, University of Sao Paulo, PO Box 369, 13560-970 Sao Carlos, SP, Brazil. https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8894-9170

4. Department of Restorative Dentistry, Sao Paulo State University (UNESP), School of Dentistry, Araraquara, SP, Brazil. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0446-2037

5. Department of Restorative Dentistry, Sao Paulo State University (UNESP), School of Dentistry, Araraquara, SP, Brazil. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2574-4706

Correspondence to: PhD. Mario Tanomaru-Filho - tanomaru@uol.com.br

ABSTRACT: The aim of this study was to assess the influence of micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) voxel size on evaluation of root canal preparation using rotary heat-treated nickel-titanium files. Curved mesial root canals of mandibular molars were prepared using ProDesign Logic 30/.05 (PDL) or HyFlex EDM 25/.08 (HEDM) (n=12). The specimens were scanned using micro-CT with 5µm of voxel size before and after root canal preparation. Images with sub-resolution of 10 and 20µm voxel sizes were obtained. The percentage of volume increase, debris and uninstrumented root canal surface were analyzed in the different voxel sizes. Data were compared using unpaired Student's t-test and ANOVA statistical tests (a=0.05). No differences were observed for percentage of volume increase, debris and instrumented surface between the root canals prepared by PDL and HEDM (p>0.05). Both systems promoted higher percentage of debris in the apical third compared to the middle third (p < 0.05). After instrumentation using PDL the percentage of uninstrumented surface was highr in the apical third than middle third only when analysis were performed at  $5\mu m$  (p<0.05). When comparing the different voxel sizes (5,10 or 20µm), both groups showed different means for the variables, with no significant difference (p>0.05). PDL and HEDM had similar root canal preparation capacity. Micro-CT images using different voxel sizes did not influence the results of volume increase and debris evaluation. However, images at 5µm showed greater accuracy to evaluate the percentage of uninstrumented surfaces.

KEYWORDS: Endodontics; Dentin; Root canal; Root canal preparation; Dental pulp cavity; X-ray microtomography.

RESUMEN: El objetivo de este estudio fue evaluar la influencia del tamaño de vóxel de la microtomografía computarizada (micro-CT) en la evaluación de la preparación del conducto radicular utilizando limas rotatorias de níguel-titanio tratadas térmicamente. Se prepararon conductos radiculares mesiales curvos de molares mandibulares usando ProDesign Logic 30/.05 (PDL) o HyFlex EDM 25/.08 (HEDM) (n=12). Las muestras se escanearon usando micro-CT con un tamaño de vóxel de 5µm antes y después de la preparación del conducto radicular. Se obtuvieron imágenes con subresolución de vóxeles de 10 y 20µm. Se analizó el porcentaje de aumento de volumen, residuos y superficie del conducto radicular no instrumentado en diferentes tamaños de vóxel. Los datos se compararon usando la prueba t de Student no pareada y las pruebas estadísticas ANOVA ( $\alpha$ =0,05). No se observaron diferencias en el porcentaje de aumento de volumen, detritus y superficie instrumentada entre los conductos radiculares preparados por PDL y HEDM (p>0,05). Ambos sistemas promovieron un mayor porcentaje de detritos en el tercio apical en comparación con el tercio medio (p<0,05). Después de la instrumentación del PDL, el porcentaje de superficie no instrumentada fue mayor en el tercio apical que en el tercio medio solo cuando los análisis se realizaron a 5µm (p<0,05). Al comparar los diferentes tamaños de vóxel (5,10 o 20µm), ambos grupos presentaron medias diferentes para las variables, sin diferencia significativa (p>0,05). PDL y HEDM tenían una capacidad de preparación del conducto radicular similar. Las imágenes de micro-CT que utilizan diferentes tamaños de vóxel no influyeron en los resultados de la evaluación del volumen y los desechos. Sin embargo, las imágenes de 5µm mostraron una mayor precisión al evaluar el porcentaje de superficies no instrumentadas.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Endodoncia; Dentina; Instrumentos de níquel y titanio; Preparación de Conductos radiculares; Cavidad de la pulpa dental; Microtomografía de rayos X.

# INTRODUCTION

Root canal preparation aims cleaning and shaping the root canal by means of instrumentation and irrigating solutions (1). Disinfection and complete cleaning of the root canal system is a complex procedure (2), and an improper cleaning may result in treatment failure (3). The evolution of endodontic instruments using nickel-titanium alloys (NiTi) and heat treatment allowed greater resistance to cyclic fatigue and greater flexibility (4) providing centralized preparation in curved root canals (5,6).

ProDesign Logic (PDL) (Easy Equipamentos Odontológicos, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil) is a rotary NiTi instrument system (7) composed by glide path files (taper .01) and shaping files (taper .05 or .06) (Pinto *et al.*, 2019). The heat treatment Control Memory (CM) of these files provides flexibility and improves their flexural resistance (4), promoting centralization for curved root canal preparation (5,6). Hyflex EDM (HEDM) (Coltène/ Whaledent, Allstätten, Switzerland) instruments have also CM heat treatment, however, these files are produced via electro-discharge machining (EDM) (8). This manufacturing process improves the mechanical properties of HEDM (9). These files maintain its integrity after multiple uses, and they are associated with high resistance to cyclic fatigue (10).

Micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) has been used to evaluate root canal preparation using different NiTi instruments (5,11,12). However, these analyzes can be influenced by many factors, such as different scan settings, images resolutions and misinterpretation of ring artifacts (13). For analysis of root canal preparation, different micro-CT acquisition parameters are used, with scanning voxel size of 9  $\mu$ m (6,14,15), 14 to 15 $\mu$ m (5,16,17), 16 to 18 $\mu$ m (11,12,18), until 30 $\mu$ m (19). The different approaches for image acquisition, image evaluation, and reporting of outcomes make difficult the interpretation of reported results and comparation of different studies (13).

Up to now there are no studies that assessed the influence of micro-CT voxel size on root canal preparation evaluation, as well as no study assessed this influence at 5µm. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the influence of micro-CT voxel size on analysis of increase in volume, debris, and uninstrumented root canal surface, after instrumentation with PDL or HEDM. The null hypotheses were that there would be no differences between the voxel sizes, and between the different systems to root canal preparation.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATION

For sample calculation, G\* Power 3.1.7 for Windows program (Heinrich-Heine-Universität Dusseldorf, Dusseldorf, Germany) was used. T test for 2 independent groups was used with an alphatype error of .05 and a beta power of .95 for all the variables. Previous studies that used micro-CT imaging for evaluating root canal preparation with similar morphology were used to determine the specific effect size for volume of root canal, 2.723 (15); debris, 3.432 (14); and untouched surface, 1.451 (20). Twelve specimens per group were indicated as being the ideal size required.

#### SPECIMEN SELECTION

All procedures were approved by the Faculty's Ethics Committee (CEP no.10411219.9.0000.5416). The roots were inspected under a stereomicroscope at  $\times$ 12 magnification to exclude those with any external dentinal defect and the roots with immature apices.

Human mandibular first and second molars previously stored in 0.1% thymol solution at 5°C were used. The inclusion criteria included two independent mesial root canals according type IV Vertucci's classification (21), angle of curvature between 25° and 35° in accordance with the Schneider method (22) and radius of curvature smaller than 10mm, following the Pruett's method (23), besides complete apical formation, absence of root fractures, calcifications or internal resorptions. For this purpose, a digital radiographic system (RVG 6100; Kodak Dental Systems, NY) was used to select teeth according to inclusion criteria. Image J program (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) was used to assess the degree of curvature in radiographic images. All selected teeth were scanned using a micro-CT device (SkyScan 1276; Bruker-micro-CT, Kontich, Belgium) at a low-resolution (35µm voxel size) under the following settings: copper and aluminum filters, 87-millisecond exposure time, frame averaging of 3, 180° rotation around the vertical axis, rotational step of 0.5° at 80 kV and 300µA.

The mesial root canals of the specimens were divided into two experimental groups randomly (n=12), considering the preoperative volume of the root canals. The root length was standardized at 18mm, with a tolerance of  $\pm$ 1mm of discrepancy. The specimens were embedded in condensation silicone (Oranwash, Zhermack SpA, Badia Polesine, Italy) to simulate the periodontal ligament.

#### ROOT CANAL PREPARATION

Conventional access cavities were performed, and the root canals were explored by using a size #10 K-file (Dentsply Sirona Endodontics, Ballaigues, Switzerland). The working length was established 1mm shorter from the apical foramen of each specimen. In the sequence, a trained operator instrumented all the specimens with the aid of an operating microscope (MC-M1232, DF Vasconcellos, Valença, RJ, Brazil) at 13X magnification, using an endodontic motor (VDW Silver, VDW GmbH, Munich, Germany).

PDL Group: Instrumentation with PDL. The 30/.01 file was used in continuous rotation at 350rpm speed and 1 Ncm torque, using in-andout movements up to the working length. Then, the 30/.05 file was used at 600rpm speed and 4 Ncm torque. HEDM Group: Instrumentation with HEDM. The 10/.05 file was used in continuous rotation at 300rpm speed and 1.8 Ncm torque, using in-andout movements up to the working length. Then, the HEDM 25/.08 file was used at 500rpm speed and 2.5 Ncm torque.

The root canal irrigation was performed with 5mL of 2.5% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCI), using a 30G side-vented needle (NaviTip, Ultradent Products, South Jordan, UT) adapted to a 5mL syringe (Ultradent Products), which was placed 2mm short of the working length. As final irrigation, 2mL of 17% EDTA followed by 5mL of distilled water were used.

#### MICRO-CT ANALYSIS

The specimens were rescanned at a highresolution (5µm voxel size) before and after instrumentation in a micro-CT device (SkyScan 1272; Bruker-microCT, Kontich, Belgium) under the following settings: copper filter, 180° rotation around the vertical axis and rotational step of 0.2° at 100kV and 100µA. The images were reconstructed using NRecon software (V1.6.10.4; Bruker, Belgium), and superimposed with geometric alignment using the DataViewer software (V.1.5.1, Bruker, Belgium). The qualitative analysis was performed using the CTAn software (V.1.14.4, Bruker, Belgium). Images at 5,10 and 20µm were used for quantitative analysis (Figure 1). For this purpose, the previously scanned 5µm images were resized by 2 and 4, respectively using the CTAn software (24,25,26).

Analyzes were performed in the middle and apical thirds of the roots, being considered 3mm for each third. Preoperative volume, volume of prepared canal, surface area after preparation and volume of debris after preparation were obtained. Based on these values, the percentage of volumetric increase (% Volumetric increase), percentage of debris (% Debris) and percentage of uninstrumented surface (% Uninstrumented surface) were calculated using the following formulas:

% Volumetric increase = 100 - Volume of prepared canal x 100 / Preoperative volume

% Debris = Volume of debris x 100 / Volume of prepared canal

% Uninstrumented surface = Uninstrumented surface area x 100 / Surface area after preparation

#### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The data obtained for each of the parameters evaluated were submitted to the Shapiro-Wilks normality test, and all data presented normal distribution. For analysis between groups and between the middle and apical thirds was use no-paired t-test. For analysis among images with different voxel size was used ANOVA test. The level of significance was 5% for all the analysis.

#### RESULTS

No differences were observed for percentage of volume increase, debris and uninstrumented surface between the root canals prepared by PDL and HEDM in the middle and apical thirds at all voxel sizes  $(5,10 \text{ and } 20 \mu \text{m}) \text{ (p>0.05)}$  (Figure 2). Both systems promoted higher percentage of debris in apical third compared with middle third (p<0.05). The comparison of uninstrumented surface of root canal showed similar results between thirds for both groups at 10 and 20µm (p>0.05). However, after instrumentation with PDL the percentage of uninstrumented surface was higher in the apical third than middle third at  $5\mu m$  (p<0.05). When comparing images with different voxel sizes (5,10 or 20µm), both groups showed different means for the variables without significant difference (p>0.05) (Table 1).



Figure 1. Representative micro-CT images at different voxel sizes showing original transversal slices of the roots canals (A), segmented root canals (B) and the superposition of the segmented root canal before and after instrumentation for volumetric analyses (C).



**Figure 2**. 3D reconstructions and cross-sectional views of images with 5µm of voxel size of middle and apical thirds of mesial roots od mandibular molars prepared by ProDesign Logic or HyFlex EDM. Root canal before preparation (red), root canal after preparation (green).

**Table 1**. Means and standard deviations of volume, volume increase (%), debris (%) and uninstrumented surface (%) of root canals in different voxel sizes after preparation.

		ProDesign Logic			HyFlex EDM		
		5µm	10µm	20µm	5µm	10µm	20µm
Pre-operative canal (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Middle	$0.4 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$0.4 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$0.4 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$0.4 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$0.3\pm0.2^{\rm a}$	$0.4 \pm 0.2^{a}$
	Apical	$0.20 \pm 0.07^{b}$	$0.20 \pm 0.07^{b}$	$0.19 \pm 0.06^{b}$	$0.2 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$0.2 \pm 0.1^{b}$	$0.2 \pm 0.1^{b}$
Prepared canal (mm³)	Middle	$0.5 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$0.5 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$0.6 \pm 0.1^{a}$	$0.7 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$0.7 \pm 0.2^{a}$	$0.7 \pm 0.2^{a}$
	Apical	$0.30 \pm 0.07^{b}$	$0.27 \pm 0.05^{b}$	$0.27 \pm 0.05^{b}$	0.31 ± 0.04 <sup>b</sup>	$0.3 \pm 0.2^{b}$	$0.3\pm0.1^{\mathrm{b}}$
Volume Increase (%)	Middle	$60 \pm 30^{a}$	$60 \pm 30^{a}$	$60 \pm 30^{a}$	$90 \pm 50^{a}$	$80 \pm 50^{a}$	$90 \pm 30^{a}$
	Apical	$60 \pm 50^{a}$	$50 \pm 30^{a}$	$60 \pm 50^{a}$	$70 \pm 60^{a}$	$70 \pm 40^{a}$	$70 \pm 50^{a}$
Debris (%)	Middle	3 ± 2 <sup>b</sup>	3 ± 2 <sup>b</sup>	2 ± 2 <sup>b</sup>	4 ± 2 <sup>b</sup>	3 ± 2 <sup>b</sup>	$3 \pm 2^{b}$
	Apical	$5 \pm 3^{a}$	$5 \pm 2^{a}$	$4 \pm 3^{a}$	$6 \pm 3^{a}$	$6 \pm 3^a$	$5 \pm 3^{a}$
Uninstrumented surface (%)	Middle	30 ± 20 <sup>b</sup>	$29 \pm 20^{a}$	$28 \pm 20^{a}$	$40 \pm 10^{a}$	$30 \pm 20^{a}$	$30 \pm 10^{a}$
	Apical	$54 \pm 20^{a}$	$50 \pm 20^{a}$	$40 \pm 20^{a}$	$50 \pm 20^{a}$	$40 \pm 20^{a}$	$30 \pm 20^{a}$

\*There was no statistically significant difference among the columns. Different superscript lowercase letters in the same column indicate statistical difference between the thirds of the same preparation (P<.05).

# DISCUSSION

Micro-CT is one of the most used analysis tool for root canal preparation assessments (5,6,27). Therefore, it is important to know the influence of scanning parameters on the outcomes of each variable associated to the instrumentation capacity. Previous study has reported no influence of voxel size on debris evaluation when analyses was performed between 5 and 20µm voxel size (25). However, other investigation, assessing the influence of voxel size on microcracks analyses showed higher accuracy for images with lower voxel size (26). Therefore, in agreement with the current literature, our results report that the influence of voxel size on analyses of root canal preparations using micro-CT is variable-dependent. Although, in the present study, the voxel size did not influence the analyses of root canal volume and debris, the root canals prepared by PDL showed higher uninstrumented surface in apical third than middle third only when the evaluation was performed at 5µm.

A high percentage of uninstrumented surface after root canal preparation have been reported by several investigations. However, their micro-CT images were obtained using different parameters of scanning, varying since 9 to 30µm of voxel size (6,11,12,16,18,19). These studies have showed conflicting results, even using similar apical preparation size (6,11,12,16,18) or similar root canal anatomy (6,11,12). In this study a different outcome was observed when the uninstrumented surface analyzes were performed at 5µm, therefore, the micro-CT voxel size must be taken into account for comparisons between studies. A voxel is the discrete unit of the scan volume that is the result of the tomographic reconstruction representing three dimensions (13). The voxel size seems to be one of the main factors that can affect the quality of the images and consequently the analyzes performed (13,24). The analysis of trabecular bone structure was significantly affected by micro-CT scanning voxel size (28,29). A previous study (30), evaluating the presence of voids in filled root canal at different voxel size, detected more voids at smaller voxel sizes (5.2, 8.1 and  $11.2\mu$ m) than at 16.73.

The CM heat treatment applicated for PDL and HEDM instruments allows safe preparations in curved canals (5). Moreover, the EDM surface treatment present in HEDM files improve its mechanical properties (10). The greater taper of the first 4mm for 25/.08 HEDM file, with its progressive taper reduction until to .04 has motivated the use of PDL file with larger tip diameter, size 30/.05 in this study. The constant taper of PDL promotes similar size along the active part between the instruments evaluated. Thus, in the present study, PDL and HEDM presented similar percentage of volumetric increase and uninstrumented surface.

The presence of debris in the root canal makes it difficult to disinfect (31), and decreases sealer adhesion to dentinal tubules (27). There were no differences for percentage of debris accumulated between the root canals prepared for PDL or HEDM. However, for both instruments evaluated, there was more percentage of debris in apical third than middle third, with means of 5,07% for PDL and 5,77 for HEDM, in analysis at 5µm. Previous studies have also observed large amounts of accumulated debris in mesial roots of mandibular molars (6,14,27). These studies suggest greater apical enlargement (6) or additional cleaning protocols such as passive ultrasonic irrigation (14,25) or XP-endo Finisher (14) as methods to decrease accumulated root canal debris after root canal preparation using mechanized NiTi files.

Since the influence of voxel size on root canal preparation analyses seems to be depended of the variable evaluated, it is important the planning of study design considering the choice of adequate micro-CT scanning protocol. In addition, taking account that besides voxel size, the final resolution of the images also depends on other parameters such as average absorption of the sample, detector noise, reconstruction algorithm, X-ray focal spot size and shape, detector aperture, and scanner geometry (13), a pilot study is strongly recommended before the scans, instead of using parameters from previous study.

# CONCLUSION

Within the limitations of this *ex vivo* study, it can be concluded that voxel sizes do not have a significant impact on analysis of volume of root canals and accumulated debris. However, the voxel size should be considered as a potential factor for uninstrumented surface, since it was observed different results for images at  $5\mu$ m, in comparation with images of 10 and 20 $\mu$ m of voxel sizes. Further research is necessary using lower micro-CT voxel size scans.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Conceptualization and design: J.C.P and M.T-F. Literature review: J.C.P Methodology and validation: J.C.P, E.L-O., T.J.B., J.M.G-T and M.T-F. Formal analysis: J.C.P and M.T-F. Investigation and data collection: J.C.P and M.T-F. Resources: J.C.P and M.T-F. Data analysis and interpretation: J.C.P, E.L-O., T.J.B., J.M.G-T and M.T-F. Writing-original draft preparation: J.C.P and M.T-F. Writing-review & editing: J.C.P, E.L-O., T.J.B., J.M.G-T and M.T-F. Supervision: M.T-F. Project administration and funding acquisition: M.T-F.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001, and was supported by São Paulo Research Foundation - FAPESP (n° 2018/19665-6).

# REFERENCES

- 1. Wu M.K., Dummer P.M., Wesselink P.R. Consequences of and strategies to deal with residual post-treatment root canal infection. Int Endod J. 2006 May; 39 (5): 343-56.
- Wu M.K., Dummer PM, Wesselink PR. Consequences of and strategies to deal with residual post-treatment root canal infection. Int Endod J. 2006 May;39 (5): 343-56.
- Azim A.A., Griggs J.A., Huang G.T. The Tennessee study: factors affecting treatment outcome and healing time following nonsurgical root canal treatment. Int Endod J. 2016 Jan; 49 (1): 6-16.
- Alcalde M.P., Duarte M.A.H., Bramante C.M., de Vasconselos B.C., Tanomaru-Filho M., Guerreiro-Tanomaru J.M., Pinto J.C., Só M.V.R., Vivan R.R. Cyclic fatigue and torsional strength of three different thermally treated reciprocating nickel-titanium instruments. Clin Oral Investig. 2018 May; 22 (4): 1865-1871.
- Pinheiro S.R, Alcalde M.P., Vivacqua-Gomes N., Bramante C.M., Vivan R.R., Duarte M.A.H., Vasconcelos B.C. Evaluation of apical transportation and centring ability of five thermally treated NiTi rotary systems. Int Endod J. 2018 Jun; 51 (6): 705-713.

- Pinto J.C., Pivoto-João M.M.B., Espir C.G., Ramos M.L.G., Guerreiro-Tanomaru J.M., Tanomaru-Filho M. Micro-CT evaluation of apical enlargement of molar root canals using rotary or reciprocating heat-treated NiTi instruments. J Appl Oral Sci. 2019 Aug 12; 27: e20180689.
- Rodrigues C.T., Duarte M.A., de Almeida M.M., de Andrade F.B., Bernardineli N. Efficacy of CM-Wire, M-Wire, and Nickel-Titanium Instruments for Removing Filling Material from Curved Root Canals: A Micro-Computed Tomography Study. J Endod. 2016 Nov; 42 (11): 1651-1655.
- Pedullà E., Genovesi F., Rapisarda S., La Rosa G.R., Grande N.M., Plotino G., Adorno C.G. Effects of 6 Single-File Systems on Dentinal Crack Formation. J Endod. 2017 Mar; 43 (3): 456-461.
- Iacono F., Pirani C., Generali L., Bolelli G., Sassatelli P., Lusvarghi L., Gandolfi M.G., Giorgini L., Prati C. Structural analysis of HyFlex EDM instruments. Int Endod J. 2017 Mar; 50 (3): 303-313.
- Pirani C., Iacono F., Generali L., Sassatelli P., Nucci C., Lusvarghi L., Gandolfi M.G., Prati C. HyFlex EDM: superficial features, metallurgical analysis and fatigue resistance of innovative electro discharge machined NiTi rotary instruments. Int Endod J. 2016 May; 49 (5): 483-93.
- da Silva Limoeiro A.G., Dos Santos A.H., De Martin A.S., Kato A.S., Fontana C.E., Gavini G., Freire L.G., da Silveira Bueno C.E. Micro-Computed Tomographic Evaluation of 2 Nickel-Titanium Instrument Systems in Shaping Root Canals. J Endod. 2016 Mar; 42 (3): 496-9.
- Stringheta C.P., Bueno C.E.S., Kato A.S., Freire L.G., Iglecias E.F., Santos M., Pelegrine R.A. Micro-computed tomographic evaluation of the shaping ability of four instrumentation systems in curved root canals. Int Endod J. 2019 Jun; 52 (6): 908-916.

- Bouxsein M.L., Boyd S.K., Christiansen B.A., Guldberg R.E., Jepsen K.J., Müller R. Guidelines for assessment of bone microstructure in rodents using micro-computed tomography. J Bone Miner Res. 2010 Jul; 25 (7): 1468-86.
- Leoni G.B., Versiani M.A., Silva-Sousa Y.T., Bruniera J.F., Pécora J.D., Sousa-Neto M.D. Ex vivo evaluation of four final irrigation protocols on the removal of hard-tissue debris from the mesial root canal system of mandibular first molars. Int Endod J. 2017 Apr; 50 (4): 398-406.
- Pivoto-João M.M.B., Tanomaru-Filho M., Pinto J.C., Espir C.G., Guerreiro-Tanomaru J.M. Root Canal Preparation and Enlargement Using Thermally Treated Nickel-Titanium Rotary Systems in Curved Canals. J Endod. 2020 Nov; 46 (11): 1758-1765.
- Zuolo M.L., Zaia A.A., Belladonna F.G., Silva E.J.N.L., Souza E.M., Versiani M.A., Lopes R.T., De-Deus G. Micro-CT assessment of the shaping ability of four root canal instrumentation systems in oval-shaped canals. Int Endod J. 2018 May; 51 (5): 564-571.
- 17. Zhao Y., Fan W., Xu T., Tay F.R., Gutmann J.L., Fan B. Evaluation of several instrumentation techniques and irrigation methods on the percentage of untouched canal wall and accumulated dentine debris in C-shaped canals. Int Endod J. 2019 Sep; 52 (9):1354-1365.
- Siqueira J.F. Jr., Alves F.R., Versiani M.A., Rôças I.N., Almeida B.M., Neves M.A., Sousa-Neto M.D. Correlative bacteriologic and micro-computed tomographic analysis of mandibular molar mesial canals prepared by self-adjusting file, reciproc, and twisted file systems. J Endod. 2013 Aug; 39 (8): 1044-50.
- Zhao D., Shen Y., Peng B., Haapasalo M. Micro-computed tomography evaluation of the preparation of mesiobuccal root canals in maxillary first molars with Hyflex CM, Twisted Files, and K3 instruments. J Endod. 2013 Mar; 39 (3): 385-8.

- Peters O.A., Arias A., Paqué F. A Microcomputed Tomographic Assessment of Root Canal Preparation with a Novel Instrument, TRUShape, in Mesial Roots of Mandibular Molars. J Endod. 2015 Sep; 41 (9): 1545-50.
- 21. Vertucci F.J. Root canal anatomy of the human permanent teeth. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1984 Nov; 58 (5): 589-99.
- Schneider S.W. A comparison of canal preparations in straight and curved root canals. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1971 Aug; 32 (2): 271-5.
- Pruett J.P., Clement D.J., Carnes D.L. Jr. Cyclic fatigue testing of nickel-titanium endodontic instruments. J Endod. 1997 Feb; 23 (2): 77-85.
- 24. Isaksson H., Töyräs J., Hakulinen M., Aula A.S., Tamminen I., Julkunen P., Kröger H., Jurvelin J.S. Structural parameters of normal and osteoporotic human trabecular bone are affected differently by microCT image resolution. Osteoporos Int. 2011 Jan; 22 (1): 167-77.
- 25. Pinto J.C., Torres F.F.E., Santos Junior A.O., Tavares K.I.M.C., Guerreiro-Tanomaru J.M., Tanomaru-Filho M. Influence of voxel size on micro-CT analysis of debris after root canal preparation. Braz Oral Res. 2020 Nov 13; 35: e008.
- 26. Pinto J.C., Coaguila-Llerena H., Torres F.F.E., Lucas-Oliveira É., Bonagamba T.J., Guerreiro-

Tanomaru J.M., Tanomaru-Filho M. Influence of voxel size on dentinal microcrack detection by micro-CT after root canal preparation. Braz Oral Res. 2021 Oct 11; 35: e074.

- 27. Freire L.G., Iglecias E.F., Cunha R.S., Dos Santos M., Gavini G. Micro-Computed Tomographic Evaluation of Hard Tissue Debris Removal after Different Irrigation Methods and Its Influence on the Filling of Curved Canals. J Endod. 2015 Oct; 41 (10): 1660-6.
- Sode M., Burghardt A.J., Nissenson R.A., Majumdar S. Resolution dependence of the non-metric trabecular structure indices. Bone. 2008 Apr; 42 (4): 728-36.
- 29. Christiansen B.A. Effect of micro-computed tomography voxel size and segmentation method on trabecular bone microstructure measures in mice. Bone Rep. 2016 May 27; 5: 136-40.
- Orhan K., Jacobs R., Celikten B., Huang Y., de Faria Vasconcelos K., Nicolielo L.F.P., Buyuksungur A., Van Dessel J. Evaluation of Threshold Values for Root Canal Filling Voids in Micro-CT and Nano-CT Images. Scanning. 2018 Jul 16; 2018: 9437569.
- Paqué F., Laib A., Gautschi H., Zehnder M. Hard-tissue debris accumulation analysis by high-resolution computed tomography scans. J Endod. 2009 Jul; 35 (7): 1044-7.

