Resumen

Es necesario contar con métodos de laboratorio muy eficientes y específicos para poder distinguir las rickettiosis de otras enfermedades infecciosas y diferenciar con exactitud unas rickettiasis de otras. Las categorías más importantes de métodos utilizados para diagnóstico de rickettiasis son: Visualización directa por histología o tinciones inmunohistoquímicas, serología, cultivo y métodos moleculares, cada una de ellas posee sus fortalezas y debilidades. La presente revisión discute algunas de estas ventajas y desventajas inherentes a cada método y cómo estas técnicas pueden evolucionar colectivamente en el futuro. Históricamente, muchas enfermedades rickettiales han sido pobremente estudiadas, generalmente porque estos patógenos se consideran difíciles de cultivar, difíciles de teñir y peligrosos de propagar en el laboratorio. Progresando hacia el siglo 21, un mayor uso de estos métodos de diagnóstico, especialmente en países tropicales y en vías de desarrollo podría beneficiar un reconocimiento global de las rickettiosis y el impacto que estas tienen en un enorme segmento de la población mundial. Es cada vez más importante para los rickettiólogos contemporáneos abstenerse de utilizar los métodos clásicos tales como cultivo, serología y hasta la visualización directa con la evolución de métodos moleculares más rápidos y sofisticados. Se pueden obtener resultados extraordinarios cuando se utilizan múltiples técnicas de manera concomitante.
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Abstract

Robust laboratory methods are necessary to distinguish rickettsioses from other infectious diseases and to accurately distinguish one rickettiosis from another. The major categories of diagnostic techniques used for rickettissioses, i.e., direct visualization by histological or immunohistochemical stains, serology, culture, and molecular techniques, each have unique strengths and weaknesses. This review discusses some of the advantages and disadvantages inherent to each method and how these techniques might evolve collectively in the future. Many rickettsial diseases have been historically understudied, often because the pathogens were considered difficult to grow, difficult to stain, and dangerous to propagate. As we progress into the 21st century, the broader use of diagnostic assays, particularly in many tropical and developing countries, will better leverage the global recognition of rickettissioses, and the impact that these infections have on enormous segments of the world population. It will be increasingly important for contemporary rickettiologists to refrain from replacing entirely classical methods such as culture, serology, or even direct visualization with more rapidly evolving and increasingly sophisticated molecular techniques. Extraordinary results can be achieved when multiple techniques are used in tandem.
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An early presumptive diagnosis, based on careful consideration of the clinical and epidemiological features associated with the illness, represents the foundation for successful treatment of patients with rickettsial diseases. For more than 50 years, rickettsiologists and public health professionals have emphasized this dictum because so many of the rickettsioses, including Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF), Mediterranean spotted fever, scrub typhus, louse-borne typhus, and *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* ehrlichiosis can be life-threatening diseases that characteristically offer a relatively narrow window of time during when appropriate antibiotic therapy is most effective at minimizing morbidity and mortality. The term ‘acute doxycycline deficiency’ is used occasionally by clinicians in the United States during the spring and summer months to describe an acute febrile illness, presumably rickettsial in origin, that responds favorably to therapy with this antibiotic. The choice of appropriate therapy for these diseases is crucial, but must also be coupled with laboratory efforts that validate clinical suspicion. A response to doxycycline is not necessarily confirmatory evidence of a rickettsiosis, and not all febrile, rash-associated illnesses will necessarily respond to treatment with doxycycline. In this context, robust laboratory methods are needed to distinguish rickettsioses from other infectious diseases, and to accurately distinguish one rickettsiosis from another.

Laboratory diagnostics provide physicians with vital data that validate the accuracy of their clinical diagnoses and help define the true clinical spectrum of individual infections. These tools also occupy a fundamental role in the science of rickettsiology as drivers of pathogen discovery and by providing information necessary to uncover nuanced epidemiological and ecological features unique to each rickettsiosis. During the last decade, several publications have provided detailed descriptions of the various techniques used to diagnose the rickettsiosis,\textsuperscript{1-5} and the enormous downstream influence these assays have on epidemiological statistics for these diseases.\textsuperscript{6,7} The following discussion is not intended to comprehensively evaluate each method, but rather to emphasize some general strengths and weaknesses of the 4 major classes of these techniques, and how these might evolve collectively in the future.

**Direct visualization**

The small size, relatively sparse distribution and specific tinctorial properties of rickettsiae in tissues, blood, and other body fluids have historically posed challenges to clinicians and scientists attempting to visualize these pathogens in clinical samples. Howard Ricketts was the first to microscopically identify rickettsiae in animals and humans when he described “diplococcoid bodies, sometimes short bacillary forms” in Giemsa-stained smears of blood from patients with RMSF and guinea pigs and monkeys infected experimentally with *Rickettsia rickettsii*.\textsuperscript{8,9} Ricketts was hesitant to state definitively that these structures were the etiologic agent of RMSF because he could not cultivate these bacteria by use of axenic media; nonetheless, his observations were soon corroborated by Simeon Burt Wolbach who used the same staining method to describe the characteristic appearance and distribution of rickettsiae in human tissues:…
“a short rod in pairs, joined end to end...found in apparently uninjured endothelium of normal vessels, in areas of proliferated endothelium of the intima of vessels, in hyaline necrosed intima in more advanced lesions, in apparently normal and necrosed smooth muscle fibers of vessels with lesions, and in endothelial cells in the perivascular zones of proliferation.”

Traditional staining methods thus facilitated identification and description of the fundamental lesions of RMSF and louse-borne typhus (Figure 1), and provided a foundation for the pathophysiology of all vasculotropic rickettsiosis; nonetheless, the use of traditional histological stains as a diagnostic technique to detect rickettsiae in clinical samples is vanishingly rare in contemporary scientific and medical literature.

In 1976, investigators first coupled hyperimmune animal serum with immunofluorescence techniques to detect spotted fever group (SFG) rickettsiae in tissues of ill patients; during the last 25 years, investigators have developed immunohistochemical (IHC) assays using monoclonal or polyclonal antibodies to identify various genera of rickettsial pathogens in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded biopsy or autopsy tissue specimens, including multiple SFG and typhus group (TG) Rickettsia species, E. chaffeensis, Anaplasma phagocytophilum and Orientia tsutsugamushi. Advantages of these methods include: (1) direct evidence of infection; (2) high sensitivity during the early stages of infection when other methods, particularly serology, are non-diagnostic; and, (3) excellent positive predictive value. The advantage provided by direct observation of the pathogen in the appropriate histopathological context is considerable and provides a second level of confirmation inherent only to this class of diagnostics.

Another useful and somewhat unique feature of IHC techniques is its application to specimens obtained years or even decades earlier. The cause of death of a Maryland patient from 1901 was confirmed ninety years later as RMSF by use of an IHC stain applied to archival paraffin-embedded tissues, predating retrospectively the first descriptions of this disease in the eastern United States by thirty years. Application of immunohistochemical techniques identified O. tsutsugamushi in archival tissues >50 years old. Finally, by identifying additional cellular targets of these pathogens, and by dissecting the specific inflammatory response of the vertebrate host, IHC techniques build on the foundation of rickettsial pathobiology established almost 100 years ago by conventional histological staining methods. Disadvantages of IHC staining methods include: (1) the acquisition of the analyte, i.e., tissue, is typically more complex than collection of blood or serum; (2) the requirements of specimen processing and evaluation that often limit diagnostic capacity to specialized regional or national centers; and, (3) the use of immunologic reagents that are generally group-specific rather than species-specific.

Careful microscopical examination peripheral blood smears stained with eosin-azure type dyes will detect morulae in the cytoplasm of infected leukocytes in as many as 20%-30% patients infected with E. chaffeensis and approximately 20%-80% of those infected with Anaplasma phagocytophilum. This technique is relatively insensitive and inconsistent; however, its simplicity and ubiquity provided the initial discoveries of human monocytic ehrlichiosis and human anaplasmosis in the United States during the late 1980s and early 1990s.

Serology

Serological methods represent the most widely recognized, broadly available and frequently used tools for the diagnosis
of rickettsial diseases; however, these techniques are also deeply ingrained in the minds of clinicians, often to the exclusion of any other category of confirmatory test. Despite the ease of use and accessibility of serological assays, these are distinguished from all other diagnostic methods by providing indirect evidence of infection or exposure, i.e., detecting host antibodies reactive with rickettsiae, rather than identifying directly antigens, nucleic acids, or live rickettsiae. The indirect immunofluorescence antibody (IFA) assay, generally considered the serological reference method for rickettsioses, was first applied for the diagnosis of murine and louse-borne typhus in 1959 and subsequent uses of IFA to diagnose other rickettsial diseases increased dramatically during the next several decades.32,33

The principal advantages of IFA, enzyme immunoassay (EIA), and other antibody detection methods include: (1) commercial availability; (2) relative simplicity; and, (3) opportunities for retrospective diagnosis weeks after the patient has recovered from the acute illness. Serologic methods can be used effectively to screen individuals and populations for rickettsial infections in regions where these diseases were previously unrecognized. By example, the recent identification of an unexpected and novel Orientia infection in a traveler to Dubai, hundreds of miles beyond the recognized range of classical scrub typhus, was initiated by a serologic result that indicated recent infection with a pathogen antigenically similar to O. tsustugamushi.34

Serological assays, particularly IFA methods, can be very effective at confirming rickettsial infections when 2 or more serum specimens are collected at appropriately timed intervals that generally range between 2 to 6 weeks, depending on the relative severity of the particular infection;35 however, when this protocol is not followed, the opportunities for misinterpreting data generated by serological assays are considerable, particularly when no other laboratory methods are included in the diagnostic evaluation of the patient. Paradoxically, serological methods are particularly insensitive during the acute stage of rickettsial infections, when most patients seek medical attention and when the majority of specimens are collected for subsequent evaluation by these techniques. As an example, initial serum samples collected from 11 of 22 patients with laboratory-confirmed rickettsialpox failed to demonstrate IgG antibody levels reactive with Rickettsia akari antigens at or above the conventionally recognized cutoff dilution when tested by using an IFA assay; confirmatory evidence of infection with R. akari in these 11 patients was obtained only because diligent clinicians collected additional specimens, including convalescent-phase sera and skin biopsy specimens for IHC, culture, and PCR.36Approximately 50% of patients with RMSF lack a diagnostically relevant IFA titer (i.e., > 64) during the first week of illness; however, at least half of all deaths attributed to R. rickettsii occur within 7-9 days after illness onset, explaining the large percentage of persons who die of RMSF without serological confirmation.38 In these circumstances, IFA methods have an exceptionally low negative predictive value and molecular methods (see below) can greatly enhance a diagnosis in cases of fatal disease, particularly if a serum sample collected during the acute illness is the only diagnostic specimen obtained by clinicians.39

Patients infected with or exposed to Rickettsia, Ehrlichia, Anaplasma, Coxiella or Orientia species characteristically generate antibodies that are genus- or group-specific rather than species-specific. An important limitation of serological methods occurs when antibody titers are over-interpreted to ascribe a species-specific etiology based entirely on reactivity to a particular rickettsial antigen. In 2003, cases of Brazilian spotted fever (BSF) were reported for the first time in the state of Santa Catarina in southern Brazil. Because serological testing revealed antibodies reactive with R. rickettsii in these patients, their illnesses were categorized officially as BSF. However, observant clinicians recognized that the clinical composite of “BSF” in Santa Catarina differed significantly from that of historically recognized disease in the state of São Paulo, particularly with respect to life-threatening manifestations and death (Table 1).37 Indeed, no deaths were reported among the 139 cases of “BSF” identified in Santa Catarina during 2003-2009; in contrast, the case-fatality rate of BSF in São Paulo during this same interval was approximately 30% (www.cve.saude.sp.gov.br/htm/zoo/fm_18503.htm). Because many of the severe cases in São Paulo were confirmed infections with R. rickettsii 38 investigators suggested that a Rickettsia species other than R. rickettsii was responsible for the mild cases of “BSF” in Santa Catarina.39 Indeed, subsequent entomological surveys for SFG rickettsiae in ticks in Santa Catarina detected a strain of Rickettsia parkeri,39 recognized previously in other parts of Brazil as the cause of a rickettsiosis far milder than BSF.40,41

Serological diagnoses of rickettsioses are often confounded by the occurrence of preexisting levels of antibodies in the population at-risk that are reactive with a particular pathogen that may be different than the actual disease under investigation. In the United States, antibodies reactive with antigens of R. rickettsii occur at “diagnostic” levels in as many as 5% to 10% of the general population.42-46 There are several possible explanations for the serological noise created by diagnostically relevant antibody titers to pathogenic rickettsiae among otherwise healthy persons. These include serum reactivity with antigenically related but minimally or non-pathogenic species, and persistence of antibody levels among persons previously infected with or exposed to rickettsial antigens weeks, months, or perhaps years earlier (Figure 2).47

In some areas of the world the background seroprevalence to rickettsial agents is considerably higher. From separate studies in Colombia, approximately 40% of 392 randomly selected healthy adults in Cundinamarca Province demonstrated antibodies reactive with R. rickettsii at titers > 64 by using a well-validated IFA assay,48 while in Caldas Province, a staggering 490 (72%) of 682 sampled volunteers demonstrated IgG antibody titers > 64 to antigens of Rickettsia felis, Rickettsia typhi or both rickettsiae.49 In the Department of Loreto in the Amazon basin of Peru, a serosurvey of 1,195 persons during 2006 revealed antibodies reactive with SFG and TG Rickettsia species in 521 (43.6%) and 123 (10.3%) participants, respectively.50 Diagnostically relevant titers (i.e., ≥ 64) to antigens of E. chaffeensis were identified among 15 (14%) of 105 healthy persons in a rural area of Jujuy Province, Argentina, despite the absence of any recently reported illnesses compatible with ehrlichiosis among the persons sampled.51
Serological methods, particularly IFA, are also subject to a lack of standardization among laboratories and inter-observer variability. A recent analysis of 109 published studies where IFA was used for the diagnosis of acute scrub typhus or to determine seroprevalence in a particular region found broad variation among studies with respect to the specific strains of O. tsutsugamushi used as antigen, the targeted antibody isotype, and the selection of cutoff titers that defined a positive result. In the majority of these studies no clear justification for the cutoff titer was provided. These authors concluded that no single antibody titer can reliably be considered diagnostic unless prior studies have been performed to determine the seroprevalence levels in the normal population of that locality. Important serological discrepancies occur even when identical specimens are evaluated by different laboratories. When a panel of serum specimens, collected from 52 persons 6 years after a point source outbreak of Q fever in Australia, were evaluated by IFA methods at 3 different international reference centers for serological evidence infection with Coxiella burnetii, the concordance status of IgG and IgM titers used to determine acute and chronic infections, past exposures, and serologically negative persons was only 35% among these highly respected laboratories.

Nonetheless, serological methods are used with increasing frequency, often to the exclusion of other diagnostic tests. Results from these assays, most commonly represented as a single IFA titer or EIA absorbance value, are then used to generate epidemiological statistics; as a result, fewer cases are confirmed and a far greater percentage of cases are considered probable (Figure 3). The impact of diagnostic inaccuracy upon epidemiologic observations may be considerable. During 2000-2007, the reported case-fatality rate for RMSF in the United States was 0.5%, based on CRF denominator data comprising 7,796 cases, or approximately 1000 cases each year. One explanation for this estimate lies in the composition of the denominator, which is likely populated with patients with milder infections, caused by SFG Rickettsia species other than R. rickettsii.

In some cases, western blotting and cross-adsorption techniques offer greater resolution with respect to the specific rickettsial pathogen; however, these assays are generally more expensive and technically difficult to perform, and are characteristically limited in availability to only a few reference centers around the world. In theory, cross-adsorption is the best serological method of identifying the specific rickettsial agent responsible for the infection and is based on the principle that homologous and heterologous antibodies will be removed entirely when the patient serum is incubated with the antigens of the specific pathogen responsible for the disease. When incubated with a heterologous antigen, only the group-specific antibodies responsible for the cross-reaction will be removed, whereas species-specific antibodies are retained. This technique has been used successfully to discriminate serologic responses to R. typhi from those to R. prowazekii. Nonetheless, the rationale for this technique can unravel when applied to SFG rickettsioses because: (1) the inherent pretest bias, i.e., an assumption that one of the antigens used in the assay is the pathogen responsible for the infection; and (2) the absence of appropriate positive and negative controls to validate the technique. In practice, most applications of the cross-adsorption technique assume only 2 possible pathogens, and the panel consists of 4 reactions; however, if correctly applied, adsorption panels must become considerably larger and more complex as additional SFG Rickettsia species are discovered and considered as potential pathogens. For example, if 3 sympatric SFG rickettsioses exist in a region, a panel should require 9 separate cross-adsorptions. If there are 4 possible agents, a correctly designed panel becomes prohibitively large with 16 separate reactions, which in practice is never observed. In this context, the utility of cross-adsorption is diminished in regions where there are multiple co-circulating and antigenically similar pathogens that cause similar clinical syndromes.

**Culture**

Culture represents the reference standard for microbiological diagnosis and is the least biased of all diagnostic techniques. Most pathogenic Rickettsia species will proliferate in many commonly used cell lines such as Vero E6 cells and human embryonic lung fibroblasts. The recent discovery of an axenic media for C. burnetii suggests that culture of other rickettsiae might also be achieved in carefully defined, cell-free mediums.
Historically, rickettsiologists have been adept at culture techniques and these skills have resulted serendipitously in the isolation of several other important and novel non-rickettsial pathogens, including *Legionella pneumophila*, *Tropheryma whipplei*, and Heartland virus. Nonetheless, diagnostic laboratories are often reluctant to attempt isolation of rickettsial agents, founded largely on the perception of the dangers associated with this task. For much of the 20th century, cultivation of rickettsiae was inextricably linked with the unfortunate and surprisingly frequent occurrence of laboratory-acquired rickettsioses, often resulting in the death of the investigator. Rickettsioses accounted for approximately 15% of 3921 laboratory-associated infections and 14% of the infection-related deaths tabulated through 1974.

*Rickettsia typhi*, *R. prowazekii*, *O. tsutsugamushi*, *R. akari*, *R. africae*, *R. australis*, and especially *C. burnetii*, have been associated with laboratory-acquired infections; however, it is the notoriety of *R. rickettsii* that instills the greatest fear among clinical microbiologists. During 1912–1942, 12 investigators in the United States, Brazil, Colombia, and Japan who worked with *R. rickettsii* died from laboratory-associated RMSF. The deaths of a custodian and glassware worker at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in 1977 represent the only laboratory-associated fatal illnesses in the history of this agency. Although neither employee worked directly with *R. rickettsii*, both worked in the building and had access to laboratories where infected embryonated chicken eggs were processed and where discard pans containing rickettsiae were autoclaved. During 1955–1965, 5 cases of laboratory-acquired RMSF occurred at Ft. Detrick, Maryland via tick bite, needle inoculation and respiratory transmission, and 9 laboratorians engaged in research into the pathogenesis and immunology of rickettsioses at the United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases developed RMSF during 1971–1976, following inhalation of infectious aerosols containing *R. rickettsii*.

Nonetheless, it is important to place these laboratory accidents in perspective: 20 (80%) of 25 fatal rickettsial infections occurred prior to 1945, before the availability of effective antibiotic therapy for these infections, and typically in circumstances lacking biological safety cabinets. Many of these deaths resulted from failure to use simple barrier precautions, such as disposable latex gloves, eye protection, or filtering facepieces. Finally, almost all laboratory-acquired rickettsioses have occurred in research facilities where: (1) large numbers of infected arthropods were housed for study or vaccine development; (2) massively infected cell cultures, embryonated chicken eggs, or animal tissues were manipulated by using unsafe techniques that generated infectious aerosols; or; (3) routine safety precautions and reporting of laboratory accidents were not followed.

Biosafety level-3 practices and facilities are currently recommended for diagnostic activities involving the manipulation of known or potentially infectious materials and for inoculation and incubation of cell cultures with any recognized pathogenic *Rickettsia*, *Orientia*, or *Coxiella* species. For exquisitely infectious agents such as *C. burnetii*, and highly virulent rickettsiae such as *R. prowazekii* and *R. rickettsii*, this level of containment seems justified. However, different species of *Rickettsia* vary greatly in pathogenicity, and a rational application of species-specific rather than genus-wide recommendations for cultivating rickettsial agents deserves some consideration in the future, particularly if more clinical laboratories are ever expected to pursue culture isolation as a contemporary diagnostic technique. Microbiologists are confronted continuously with pathogens that pose some level of occupational risk. As an example, *Neisseria meningitidis* is classified as a biosafety level 2 pathogen; nonetheless, 18 cases of laboratory-acquired meningococcal disease, including 8 deaths, were identified in laboratories around the world during 1985-2002, predominantly among laboratory workers who worked with isolates on an open benchtop. An argument might be made that the hazards associated with some rickettsial pathogens are no greater, and quite possibly less, than those associated with *N. meningitidis*, particularly if all work is performed using a biological safety cabinet. One additional level of complexity was alleviated in December 2012, when *R. rickettsii* was removed from the list of select agents, so that possession, storage, or transfer of cultures infected with this pathogen no longer require registration with and oversight by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

**Molecular methods**

"In the years ahead, as we compare the nucleotide sequences of various rickettsiae, it should be possible to develop group-specific, species-specific, and perhaps even strain-specific probes that can be used both for diagnosing diseases and for conducting precise epidemiologic investigations."

It is perhaps difficult to imagine a time when molecular tools were not routinely available to rickettsiologists; yet as recently as 1991, as suggested by Joseph McDade, these resources could only be imagined. In 2013, the genetic codes unique to each species, subspecies, and strain of rickettsiae provide the foundation for confirmatory diagnosis and molecular epidemiology of the rickettsioses, and represent the cornerstone of pathogen discovery in this discipline. Largely because of the revolution in molecular biology and its direct application to rickettsiology, the number of distinct *Rickettsia*, *Orientia*, *Ehrlichia*, and *Anaplasma* spp. recognized as pathogens of humans has more than doubled since 1985.

Molecular techniques have been instrumental in epidemiological assessments of the origins of outbreaks and distributions of subtypes or strains. A devastating outbreak of RMSF that occurred during 2008-2009 in Mexicali, Mexico, shared several epidemiological and entomological features with a large cluster of cases that occurred several years earlier in a rural community in Navajo County in eastern Arizona. Both events were triggered by an abundance of free-roaming
and stray dogs and massive populations of *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* ticks in the peridomestic environments. Because of the similarities between these outbreaks, recognized 5 years apart and separated by a distance of approximately 450 km, molecular typing assays were used to evaluate DNA samples of *R. rickettsii* obtained from ticks and ill patients at both locations. A detailed analysis of 3 variable intergenic regions, used previously to characterize all known isolates of *R. rickettsii*, provided investigators the data needed to determine that the outbreaks of RMSF in Arizona and Mexicali were independent events involving genetically different strains of *R. rickettsii*.

The granularity of molecular methods allows careful assessment of clinical features of specific rickettioses that were previously obscured by less specific assays. In a recent study, investigators used molecular methods to accurately diagnose 140 consecutive Portuguese patients with Mediterranean spotted fever. The case-fatality rate in this series, determined by using specific diagnostic assays, was 21%, more than 8 times greater than the previously recognized lethality of this disease. In addition, they identified a particular strain of *R. conorii* that was more frequently associated with severe disease in this patient population. Molecular methods can be used to examine the natural history and disease dynamics of rickettioses. The first description of confirmed reinfection of a human host with *E. chaffeensis* was identified by using molecular techniques. A 56-year-old liver transplant recipient from a rural area of Missouri developed laboratory confirmed ehrlichiosis in 1997 and 1999. Whole blood specimens collected during each episode were evaluated separately by using PCR and sequence analysis to determine the nucleotide pattern of the variable-length PCR target (VLPT) and 120-kDa genes of *E. chaffeensis* present in each sample. Because the VLPT and 120-kDa antigen genes demonstrate a variety of strain-specific patterns, it could be determined conclusively that the 2 episodes resulted from infections with separate and distinct strains of *E. chaffeensis*, rather than recrudescence of a persistent infection (Table 2).

### Table 2. Molecular typing of DNA of *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* from 2 episodes of ehrlichiosis in a liver transplant recipient from rural Missouri, 1997 and 1999.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable-length PCR target (VLPT)</th>
<th>Episode 1 (June 1997)</th>
<th>Episode 2 (May 1999)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of repeat units</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat unit profile</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single nucleotide substitutions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position -69</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position 6</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position 27</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position 487</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartic acid codon deletion</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gap of 9 base pairs</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-kDa protein gene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of repeat units</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sensitivity and specificity of molecular methods have been enhanced considerably by continuously evolving technologies, including real-time and loop-mediated isothermal PCR techniques. It has been proposed that these types of diagnostic tests can be implemented easily in laboratories with basic molecular capacity and developed as acute point-of-care assays. Highly specific molecular assays have great utility in regions where particular rickettsial pathogens are known to be endemic; however, broad-range molecular methods can be extremely useful in some circumstances, particularly when multiple, genetically distinct agents occur sympatrically, or in regions where the existence of a novel rickettiosis is unrecognized. In Missouri, investigators used molecular methods to discriminate infections caused by *Ehrlichia ewingii* from those caused by *E. chaffeensis*. Their discovery unveiled a second, clinically and ecologically similar illness, the identity of which was previously obscured because of sufficient overlap of disease manifestations and a shared tick vector. The initial report of a scrub typhus-like illness in Chile in 2011, caused by a pathogen with highest molecular similarity to *O. tsutsugamushi*, represents the first identification of an *Orientia* species infection in the Western Hemisphere and resulted from the use of a broad-range PCR assay designed to amplify a large segment of the prokaryotic 16S rRNA gene.

The acquisition and evaluation of clinical samples has been enhanced considerably by molecular methods, and have been used effectively to provide diagnostic material for PCR-confirmation of various rickettioses, including RMSF, Israeli spotted fever, Thai tick typhus, *Rickettsia felis* rickettsiosis,
Table 3. Frequency of rickettsial diseases among patients with acute febrile illnesses in selected case series from tropical and developing countries, 2001-2011.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Year(s)</th>
<th>No. of patients evaluated (% with rickettsiosis)</th>
<th>Pathogen(s) identified</th>
<th>Assay(s) used for diagnosis</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uda Walawb State, Sri Lanka</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>883 (17.7)</td>
<td>SFG Rickettsia sp, TG Rickettsia sp, O. tsutsugamushi</td>
<td>IFA, EIA</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sine-Saloum region, Senegal</td>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>134 (6.0)</td>
<td>Rickettsia felis, Rickettsia conorii</td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyanza Province, Kenya</td>
<td>2008-2010</td>
<td>699 (7.2)</td>
<td>R. felis</td>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caldas Province, Colombia</td>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>26 (34.6)</td>
<td>R. typhi, R. felis, SFG Rickettsia sp.</td>
<td>IFA</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piura, Junin and Cusco Departments, Peru</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>170 (17.6)</td>
<td>SFG Rickettsia sp.</td>
<td>IFA, EIA</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IFA = Indirect immunofluorescence antibody  
WB = Western blot  
EIA = Enzyme immunoassay  
NS = Not specified  

Prospectus

Improving access to and awareness of species-specific diagnostic methods remain important challenges for 21st century rickettsiologists. Broader use of these techniques around the world might change considerably existing notions about the ecology, epidemiology, and clinical presentations of many rickettsioses. During the last 30 years, adherence to this principle has leveraged the discovery of >20 newly recognized rickettsioses and has clarified several long-standing epidemiologic questions about the clinical heterogeneity and unusual geographical distributions of many historically recognized rickettsial diseases.

In regions of the world where malaria, typhoid, leptospirosis, or dengue are endemic, there is accumulating evidence that rickettsial diseases also circulate with considerable frequency, embedded as misdiagnosed cases among statistics for these other, more classically recognized tropical diseases. By example, 10% of Cameroonian patients with undifferentiated febrile illnesses for whom malaria and typhoid were excluded had molecular evidence of infection with E. chaffeensis. During a serological study in the states of Jalisco and Yucatan in Mexico, an astounding 20 (40%) of 50 of patients with a clinical diagnosis of dengue had robust antibody titers reactive with SFG Rickettsia species, yet no demonstrable antibodies reactive with dengue virus. In a similar manner, serological evidence of recent infections with SFG Rickettsia species was identified in 22% of 96 serum samples collected during 2000-2001 as part of regional surveillance for dengue in Cundinamarca, Colombia. Two confirmed and 7 probable cases of R. parkeri rickettsiosis in Argentina were...
identified by careful laboratory evaluation of patients presenting
to one hospital with fever, myalgias and rash, several of whom
were diagnosed initially with leptospirosis or dengue. 108

The scope and magnitude of rickettsial infections in many
densely populated and geographically diverse areas of the
world including India, Africa, Southeast Asia, and Central
and South America remain poorly understood, yet during
the last decade, diagnostic tools have uncovered a plethora
of agents and diseases that impact the health of populations
in these regions.109 The rigorous and routine use of rickettsial
diagnostics in several prospective studies of febrile patients
in these understudied regions has unveiled a surprisingly
high prevalence of rickettsioses in these areas and signals an
interesting and important period of discovery (Table 3). The
frequency of undetected rickettsioses may be even greater
when diseases associated with fever and cutaneous eruptions
are investigated by these methods. During a prospective study
at 2 hospitals in eastern Algeria, 108 adults who presented with
fever and rash during 2000-2006 were evaluated for laboratory
evidence of a rickettsiosis; acute infection with a SFG or TG
*Rickettsia* species was confirmed in 14 (13%) of 108 enrolled
patients during this interval.114 Confirmatory evidence of SFG
rickettsioses was obtained from PCR analysis of skin biopsy
specimens obtained from 24 (58.6%) of 58 consecutive patients
with fever and rash who presented for care at a hospital in
Tamil Nadu State, India, during 2006-2008.115

Many rickettsial diseases have been historically
understudied, often because the agents were considered
difficult to grow, difficult to stain, and dangerous to propagate.
As we progress into the 21st century, the diagnostic techniques
outlined above will leverage the global recognition of rickettsial
diseases and the impact that these have infections on enormous
segments of the world population. Each method has unique
strengths, and it is important for rickettsiologists to refrain from
replacing entirely classical methods with rapidly evolving and
increasingly sophisticated molecular techniques. The pitfalls
of routinely relying on a single diagnostic method was recognized
by Pijper and Crockett in 1938,116 when they stated,

“Different authors stress different methods of approach,
and few use all of them... In rickettsioses [sic] research,
several methods are conclusive when used by themselves.
There are so many approaches, and it is unfortunate
that different workers value them differently...Identical
methods are not always applicable to the various
diseases. Only then can a Rickettsiosis [sic] be said
to have been completely studied when all possible
approaches have been explored.”

Pursuits in rickettsiology are most successful when traditional
and contemporary methods are used in a complementary
approach. Despite some specific and defined limitations
inherent to each of the classes of rickettsial diagnostic methods,
extraordinary results are achieved when multiple techniques are
used in tandem. As an example, when high titers of antibodies
reactive with *E. chaffeensis* were detected among a cohort of
ill patients in Wisconsin and Minnesota, who presented with
classical signs and symptoms of ehrlichiosis but who resided

hundreds of miles beyond the established range of the recognized
tick vector of *E. chaffeensis*, the application of cell culture and
molecular techniques subsequently revealed a newly recognized
ehrihlicial pathogen in the United States.117 Laboratories that
develop expertise in and routinely apply multiple combinations
of these methods provide the highest level of diagnostic accuracy and
are positioned characteristically at the leading edge of discovery.

**Disclaimer:** The findings and conclusions are those of the
author and do not necessarily represent the official position of
the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
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